HEINONLINE

Citation: 5 Bernard D. Reams Jr. & William H. Manz Federal Law A Legislative History of the Telecommunications of 1996 Pub. L. No. 104-104 110 Stat. 56 1996 the Communications Decency Act i 1997

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Wed Mar 20 17:55:59 2013

-- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License

-- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

FEDERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS LAW:

A LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF

THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

PUB. L. NO. 104-104, 110 STAT. 56 (1996)

INCLUDING

THE COMMUNICATIONS DECENCY ACT

Volume 5
Document Numbers
102 - 115

BY

BERNARD D. REAMS, JR.
ASSOCIATE DEAN AND PROFESSOR OF LAW
ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY IN NEW YORK

AND

WILLIAM H. MANZ
EXECUTIVE LAW LIBRARIAN
ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY IN NEW YORK

William S. Hein & Co., Inc.
Buffalo, N.Y.
1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act i 1997

Library of Congress Catalog Number 97-70098
ISBN 1-57588-279-5 (SET)

This book has been digitally archived to maintain
the quality of the original work for future generations
of legal researchers by William S. Hein & Co., Inc.

This volume printed on acid-free paper
by William S. Hein & Co., Inc.



Printed in the United States of America.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act ii 1997

SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS

Master Table of Documents . . . . . Vol. 1

Selected Bibliography . . . . . Vol. 1

Section I: Law as Enacted . . . . . Vol. 1 (Doc. No. 1)

Section II: Reports on the Law . . . . . Vol. 1 (Doc. Nos. 2 - 6)

Section III: Hearings on the Law . . . . Vol. 2 (Doc. Nos. 7 - 9)

Section IV: Congressional Record . . Vol. 3 (Doc. Nos. 10 - 87)

Section V: Presidential and Vice Presidential Statements . . . . . Vol. 3 (Doc. Nos. 88 - 95)

Section VI: Past Bill Versions . . . Vol. 4 (Doc. Nos. 96 - 101)

Section VII: Related Bills . . . . . Vol. 5 (Doc. Nos. 102 - 115)
Vol. 6 (Doc. Nos. 116 - 120)

Section VIII: Congressional Record - Related Bills . . . . . Vol. 6 (Doc. Nos. 121 - 162)

Section IX: Past Reports . . . . . Vol. 7 (Doc. Nos. 163 - 170)

Section X: Past Hearings . . . . . Vol. 8 (Doc. Nos. 171 - 172)
Vol. 9 (Doc. No. 173)
Vol. 10 (Doc. No. 174)
Vol. 11 (Doc. No. 175)
Vol. 12 (Doc. Nos. 176 - 177)
Vol. 13 (Doc. Nos. 178 - 179)
Vol. 14 (Doc. No. 180)
Vol. 15 (Doc. Nos. 181 - 184)
Vol. 16 (Doc. No. 185)
Vol. 17 (Doc. No. 186)
Vol. 18 (Doc. Nos. 187 - 188(A&B))
Vol. 19 (Doc. Nos. 188(C) - 189)
Vol. 20 (Doc. Nos. 190 - 191)
Vol. 21 (Doc. Nos. 192 - 201)

Section XI: Final Report . . . . . Vol. 21 (Doc. No. 202)

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act iii 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act iv 1997

INTRODUCTION

AN OVERVIEW OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

The "Telecommunications Act of 1996," signed into law on February 8, 1996, opens up competition between local telephone companies, long-distance providers, and cable companies; expands the reach of advanced telecommunications services to schools, libraries, and hospitals; and requires the use of the new V-chip technology to enable families to exercise greater control over the television programming that comes into their homes. This Act lays the foundation for the investment and development that will ultimately create a national information superhighway to serve both the private sector and the public interest.

President Clinton noted that the Act will continue the efforts of his administration in ensuring that the American public has access to many different sources of news and information in their communities. The Act increases, from 25 to 35 percent, the cap on the national audience that television stations owned by one person or entity can reach. This cap will prevent a single broadcast group owner from dominating the national media market.

Rates for cable programming services and equipment used solely to receive such services will, in general, be deregulated in about three years. Cable rates will be deregulated more quickly in communities where a phone company offers programming to a comparable number of households, providing effective competition to the cable operator. In such circumstances, consumers will be protected from price hikes because the cable system faces real competition.

This Act also makes it possible for the regional Bell companies to offer long-distance service, provided that, in the judgment of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), they have opened up their local networks to competitors such as long-distance companies, cable operators, and others. In order to protect the public, the FCC must evaluate any application for entry into the long-distance business in light of its public interest test, which gives the FCC discretion to consider a broad range of issues, such as the adequacy of interconnection arrangements to

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act v 1997

permit vigorous competition. Furthermore, in deciding whether to grant the application of a regional Bell company to offer long-distance service, the FCC must accord "substantial weight" to the views of the Attorney General. This special legal standard ensures that the FCC and the courts will accord full weight to the special competition expertise of the Justice Department's Antitrust Division--especially its expertise in making predictive judgments about the effect that entry by a bell company into long-distance may have on competition in local and long-distance markets.

Title V of the Act is entitled the "Communications Decency Act of 1996." This section is specifically aimed at curtailing the communication of violent and indecent material. The Act requires new televisions to be outfitted with the V-chip, a measure which President Clinton said, "will empower families to choose the kind of programming suitable for their children." The V-chip provision relies on the broadcast networks to produce a rating system and to implement the system in a manner compatible with V-chip technology. By relying on the television industry to establish and implement the ratings, the Act serves the interest of the families without infringing upon the First Amendment rights of the television programmers and producers.

President Clinton signed this Act into law in an effort to strengthen the economy, society, families, and democracy. It promotes competition as the key to opening new markets and new opportunities. This Act will enable us to ride safely into the twenty-first century on the information superhighway.

We wish to acknowledge the contribution of Loris Zeppieri, a third year law student, who helped in gathering these materials.

Bernard D. Reams, Jr.
William H. Manz

St. John's University
School of Law
Jamaica, New York
April 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act vi 1997

TABLE OF DOCUMENTS

VOLUME 5

Section VII: Related Bills

Doc. No. 102 - S. 1981 - Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1989. Introduced by Sen. Hollings and referred to the Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee, United States Senate, 101st Congress, 1st Session (November 21, 1989).

Doc. No. 103 - S. 1981 - Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1990 - Report No. 101-355 with amendment. Introduced by Sen. Hollings, et. al. and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, United States Senate, 101st Congress, 2d Session (June 29, 1990).

Doc. No. 104 - S. 173 - Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1991. Introduced by Sen. Hollings, et. al. and referred to the Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee, United States Senate, 102d Congress, 1st Session (January 14, 1991).

Doc. No. 105 - H.R. 5096 - Antitrust Reform Act of 1992. Introduced by Rep. Brooks and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, 102d Congress, 2d Session (May 7, 1992).

Doc. No. 106 - H.R. 5096 - Antitrust Reform Act of 1992 - Report No. 102-850. Introduced by Rep. Brooks and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, 102d Congress, 2d Session (August 12, 1992).

For ***Master Table of Documents*** of this set, please refer to ***Volume 1.***

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act vii 1997

Doc. No. 107 - S. 544 - Multistate Utility Company Consumer Protection Act of 1993. Introduced by Sen. Bumpers and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, United States Senate, 103d Congress, 1st Session (March 10, 1993).

Doc. No. 108 - S. 544 - Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994 - Report No. 103-351 with an amendment. Introduced by Sen. Bumpers (for himself and Sen. Metzenbaum) and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, United States Senate, 103d Congress, 2d Session (August 22, 1994).

Doc. No. 109 - H.R. 3626 - Antitrust Reform Act of 1993. Introduced by Rep. Brooks and Rep. Dingell and referred jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives, 103d Congress, 1st Session (November 22, 1993).

Doc. No. 110 - H. R. 3626 - Antitrust and Communications Reform Act of 1994 - Report No. 103-559 (Parts I and II) with amendments, Introduced by Rep. Brooks (for himself and Rep. Dingell) and referred jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary, Energy, and Commerce, House of Representatives, 103d Congress, 2d Session (June 24, 1994).

Doc. No. 111 - H.R. 3626 - Antitrust and Communications Reform Act of 1994. As passed by the House and referred to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, House of Representatives, 103d Congress, 2d Session (June 30, 1994).

Doc. No. 112 - H.R. 3626 - Antitrust and Communications Reform Act of 1994. As reported by Sen. Hollings without amendment (no written report) and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, United States Senate, 103d Congress, 2d Session (September 19, 1994).

For ***Master Table of Documents*** of this set, please refer to ***Volume 1.***

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act viii 1997

Doc. No. 113 - H.R. 3636 - National Communications Competition and Information Infrastructure Act of 1993. Introduced by Rep. Markey, et. al. and referred to the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives, 103d Congress, 1st Session (November 22, 1993).

Doc. No. 114 - H.R. 3636 - National Communications Competition and Information Infrastructure Act of 1994 - Report No. 103-560 with an amendment and ordered to be printed House of Representatives, 103d Congress, 2d Session (June 24, 1994).

Doc. No. 115 - S. 1822 - Communications Act of 1994 - Introduced by Sen. Hollings, et. al., and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, United States Senate, 103d Congress, 2d Session (February 3, 1994).

For ***Master Table of Documents*** of this set, please refer to ***Volume 1.***

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act ix 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act x 1997

Document No. 102

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [i] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [ii] 1997

101ST CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

S. 1981

To permit the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, and manufacture telecommunications equipment, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

NOVEMBER 21 (legislative day, NOVEMBER 6), 1989

Mr. HOLLINGS introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

---

A BILL

To permit the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, and manufacture telecommunications equipment, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1989".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds that the continued economic growth and the international competitiveness of American industry would be assisted by permitting the Bell Telephone Compa-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

nies to conduct research on, design, develop, manufacture, and market telecommunications equipment for American residential and business telecommunications users.

SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING BY BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

"SEC. 225. (a) Subject to the requirements of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, a Bell Telephone Company may conduct research on and manufacture and provide telecommunications equipment, notwithstanding any restriction or obligation imposed before the date of enactment of this section pursuant to the antitrust laws on the lines of business in which a Bell Telephone Company may engage, except that a Bell Telephone Company may not engage in such manufacturing or provision or both through a joint manufacturing agreement with another Bell Telephone Company.

"(b) Any Bell Telephone Company engaged in any activity authorized under subsection (a) shall conduct such activity other than basic research only through a subsidiary that is fully separate from any other entity owned or otherwise affiliated with any Bell Telephone Company, including any affiliate of one or more of the Bell Telephone Companies that

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

provides telecommunications services over the telephone network. The Commission shall issue rules to ensure that such subsidiary shall—

"(1) maintain books, records, and accounts separate from the parent Bell Telephone Company which identify all transactions with such parent Company and, even if such subsidiary is not a publicly held corporation, prepare financial statements which are in compliance with Federal financial reporting requirements for publicly held corporations, file such statements with the Commission, and make such statements available for public inspection;

"(2) consistent with the provisions of this section, carry out directly its own marketing, sales, advertising, installation, production, maintenance operations, manufacturing, and research and development relating to the equipment it provides, except that institutional advertising of a type not related to specific telecommunications equipment carried out by the parent Bell Telephone Company shall be permitted if each party pays its pro rata share;

"(3) conduct all of its manufacturing activity, including design and development as well as fabrication, and including the manufacture of components, within the United States;

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

"(4) have no more than 90 per centum of its equity owned by its parent Bell Telephone Company;

"(5) acquire all of the debt necessary to finance itself from the financial markets outside the operations of its parent Bell Telephone Company, and be prohibited from acquiring debt in a manner that would permit a creditor, on default, to have recourse to the assets of the Bell Telephone Company's telecommunications services business; and

"(6) shall operate at all times on an arms-length basis from any of its parent Bell Telephone Company's other businesses, including the Bell Telephone Company's telecommunications services businesses.

"(c) The Commission shall issue regulations requiring that any Bell Telephone Company that engages in any activity authorized by subsection (a) shall—

"(1) provide to other telecommunications equipment manufacturers opportunities to sell such equipment to itself or any of its affiliates which are comparable to the opportunities which it provides to itself or any of its affiliates; and

"(2) not subsidize its fully separated subsidiary with revenues from its regulated telecommunications services.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

"(d) For the purposes of administering and enforcing the provisions of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the same authority, power, and functions with respect to any Bell Telephone Company as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

"(e) The authority of the Commission to prescribe regulations to carry out this section is effective on the date of enactment of this section. The Commission shall prescribe such regulations within one hundred and eighty days after such date of enactment.

"(f) As used in this section:

"(1) The term 'affiliate' means any entity (A) that is under direct or indirect common ownership by a Bell Telephone Company, or directly or indirectly owns a Bell Telephone Company, (B) that is under direct or indirect control by a Bell Telephone Company, or directly or indirectly controls a Bell Telephone Company, or (C) in which a Bell Telephone Company or its other affiliates directly or indirectly (i) have an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 per centum or (ii) exercise substantial management influence.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

"(2) The term 'antitrust laws' has the meaning given such term by subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)).

"(3) The term 'Bell Telephone Company' means those companies listed in appendix A of the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia), and includes any successor or assign of any such company, but does not include any affiliate of any such company.

"(4) The term 'manufacturing' has the same meaning as such term has in the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia) as interpreted in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia) (filed December 3, 1987).

"(5) The term 'telecommunications' means the transmission, between or among points specified by the customer, or information of the customer's choosing, without change in the form of content of the information as sent and received, by means of an electromagnetic transmission medium, including all instrumental-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

ities, facilities, apparatus, and services (including the collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery of such information) essential to such transmission.

"(6) The term 'telecommunications equipment' means equipment, including customer premises equipment, telecommunications products used by a carrier to provide telecommunications services, and software necessary to operate such equipment.

"(7) The term 'telecommunications service' means the offering for hire of telecommunications facilities, or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 is amended by striking "section 224" by inserting "sections 224 and 225".

O

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 8 1997

Document No. 103

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [iii] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [iv] 1997

Calendar No. 675

101ST CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 1981

[Report No. 101–355]

To permit the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, and manufacture telecommunications equipment, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

NOVEMBER 21 (legislative day, NOVEMBER 6), 1989

Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. LOTT, Mr. BENTSEN, Mr. SHELBY, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. MACK, Mr. BINGAMAN, and Mr. BURNS) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

JUNE 29, 1990

Reported, under authority of the order of the Senate of June 29 (legislative day, June 11), 1990 by Mr. HOLLINGS, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

To permit the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, and manufacture telecommunications equipment, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1989".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds that the continued economic growth and the international competitiveness of American industry would be assisted by permitting the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, develop, manufacture, and market telecommunications equipment for American residential and business telecommunications users.

SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING BY BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

"SEC. 225. (a) Subject to the requirements of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, a Bell Telephone Company may conduct research on and manufacture and provide telecommunications equipment, notwithstanding any restriction or obligation imposed before the date of enactment of this section pursuant to the antitrust laws on the lines of business in which a Bell Telephone Company may engage, except that a Bell Telephone Company may not engage in such manufacturing or provision or both through a

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

joint manufacturing agreement with another Bell Telephone Company.

"(b) Any Bell Telephone Company engaged in any activity authorized under subsection (a) shall conduct such activity other than basic research only through a subsidiary that is fully separate from any other entity owned or otherwise affiliated with any Bell Telephone Company, including any affiliate of one or more of the Bell Telephone Companies that provides telecommunications services over the telephone network. The Commission shall issue rules to ensure that such subsidiary shall—

"(1) maintain books, records, and accounts separate from the parent Bell Telephone Company which identify all transactions with such parent Company and, even if such subsidiary is not a publicly held corporation, prepare financial statements which are in compliance with Federal financial reporting requirements for publicly held corporations, file such statements with the Commission, and make such statements available for public inspection;

"(2) consistent with the provisions of this section, carry out directly its own marketing, sales, advertising, installation, production, maintenance operations, manufacturing, and research and development relating to the equipment it provides, except that institutional adver-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

~~tising of a type not related to specific telecommunications equipment carried out by the parent Bell Telephone Company shall be permitted if each party pays its pro rata share;~~

~~"(3) conduct all of its manufacturing activity, including design and development as well as fabrication, and including the manufacture of components, within the United States;~~

~~"(4) have no more than 90 per centum of its equity owned by its parent Bell Telephone Company;~~

~~"(5) acquire all of the debt necessary to finance itself from the financial markets outside the operations of its parent Bell Telephone Company, and be prohibited from acquiring debt in a manner that would permit a creditor, on default, to have recourse to the assets of the Bell Telephone Company's telecommunications services business; and~~

~~"(6) shall operate at all times on an arms-length basis from any of its parent Bell Telephone Company's other businesses, including the Bell Telephone Company's telecommunications services businesses.~~

~~"(c) The Commission shall issue regulations requiring that any Bell Telephone Company that engages in any activity authorized by subsection (a) shall—~~

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

"(1) provide to other telecommunications equipment manufacturers opportunities to sell such equipment to itself or any of its affiliates which are comparable to the opportunities which it provides to itself or any of its affiliates; and

"(2) not subsidize its fully separated subsidiary with revenues from its regulated telecommunications services.

"(d) For the purposes of administering and enforcing the provisions of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the same authority, power, and functions with respect to any Bell Telephone Company as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

"(e) The authority of the Commission to prescribe regulations to carry out this section is effective on the date of enactment of this section. The Commission shall prescribe such regulations within one hundred and eighty days after such date of enactment.

"(f) As used in this section:

"(1) The term 'affiliate' means any entity (A) that is under direct or indirect common ownership by a Bell Telephone Company, or directly or indirectly owns a Bell Telephone Company, (B) that is under direct or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

indirect control by a Bell Telephone Company, or directly or indirectly controls a Bell Telephone Company, or (C) in which a Bell Telephone Company or its other affiliates directly or indirectly (i) have an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 per centum or (ii) exercise substantial management influence.

"(2) The term 'antitrust laws' has the meaning given such term by subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)).

"(3) The term 'Bell Telephone Company' means those companies listed in appendix A of the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia), and includes any successor or assign of any such company, but does not include any affiliate of any such company.

"(4) The term 'manufacturing' has the same meaning as such term has in the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia) as interpreted in United States v. Western Electric, Civil

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia) (filed December 3, 1987).

"(5) The term 'telecommunications' means the transmission, between or among points specified by the customer, or information of the customer's choosing, without change in the form of content of the information as sent and received, by means of an electromagnetic transmission medium, including all instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and services (including the collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery of such information) essential to such transmission.

"(6) The term 'telecommunications equipment' means equipment, including customer premises equipment, telecommunications products used by a carrier to provide telecommunications services, and software necessary to operate such equipment.

"(7) The term 'telecommunications service' means the offering for hire of telecommunications facilities, or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 is amended by striking "section 224" by inserting "sections 224 and 225".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1990".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds that the continued economic growth and the international competitiveness of American industry would be assisted by permitting the Bell Telephone Companies, through their affiliates, to manufacture (including design, development, and fabrication) telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment, and to engage in research with respect to such equipment.

SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING BY BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

"SEC. 225. (a) Subject to the requirements of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, a Bell Telephone Company, through an affiliate of that Company, notwithstanding any restriction or obligation imposed before the date of enactment of this section pursuant to the Modification of Final Judgment on the lines of business in which a Bell Telephone Company may engage, may manufacture and provide telecommunications equipment and manufacture cus-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 8 1997

tomer premises equipment, except that neither a Bell Telephone Company nor any of its affiliates may engage in such manufacturing in conjunction with a Bell Telephone Company not so affiliated or any of its affiliates.

"(b) Any manufacturing or provision authorized under subsection (a) shall be conducted only through an affiliate (hereafter in this section referred to as a 'manufacturing affiliate') that is separate from any Bell Telephone Company.

"(c) The Commission shall prescribe regulations to ensure that—

"(1) such manufacturing affiliate shall maintain books, records, and accounts separate from its affiliated Bell Telephone Company which identify all transactions between the manufacturing affiliate and its affiliated Bell Telephone Company and, even if such manufacturing affiliate is not a publicly held corporation, prepare financial statements which are in compliance with Federal financial reporting requirements for publicly held corporations, file such statements with the Commission, and make such statements available for public inspection;

"(2) consistent with the provisions of this section, neither a Bell Telephone Company nor any of its nonmanufacturing affiliates shall perform sales, advertising, installation, production, or maintenance operations

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 9 1997

for a manufacturing affiliate; except that institutional advertising, of a type not related to specific telecommunications equipment, carried out by the Bell Telephone Company or its affiliates shall be permitted if each party pays its pro rata share;

"(3) such manufacturing affiliate shall conduct all of its manufacturing within the United States and all component parts, of customer premises equipment manufactured by such affiliate or of telecommunications equipment manufactured by such affiliate, shall have been manufactured within the United States; except that the Commission may, no later than three months after application by such affiliate, waive the requirements of this paragraph upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances;

"(4) no more than 90 percent of the equity of such manufacturing affiliate shall be owned by its affiliated Bell Telephone Company and any affiliates of that Bell Telephone Company;

"(5) any debt incurred by such manufacturing affiliate may not be issued by its affiliates, and such manufacturing affiliate shall be prohibited from incurring debt in a manner that would permit a creditor, on default, to have recourse to the assets of its affiliated

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 10 1997

Bell Telephone Company's telecommunications services business;

"(6) such manufacturing affiliate shall not be required to operate separately from the other affiliates of its affiliated Bell Telephone Company;

"(7) if an affiliate of a Bell Telephone Company becomes affiliated with a manufacturing entity, such affiliate shall be treated as a manufacturing affiliate of that Bell Telephone Company within the meaning of subsection (b) and shall comply with the requirements of this section; and

"(8) such manufacturing affiliate shall make available, without discrimination or self-preference as to price, delivery, terms, or conditions, to all local telephone exchange carriers, for use with the public telecommunications network, any telecommunications equipment manufactured by such affiliates so long as each such purchasing carrier—

"(A) does not either manufacture telecommunications equipment, or have a manufacturing affiliate which manufactures telecommunications equipment, or

"(B) agrees to make available, to the Bell Telephone Company affiliated with such manufacturing affiliate or any of the other affiliates of

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 11 1997

such Company, any telecommunications equipment manufactured by such purchasing carrier or by any entity or organization with which such carrier is affiliated.

"(d)(1) The Commission shall prescribe regulations to require that each Bell Telephone Company shall maintain and file with the Commission full and complete information with respect to the protocols and technical requirements for connection with and use of its telephone exchange service facilities. Such regulations shall require each such Company to report promptly to the Commission any material changes or proposed changes to such protocols and requirements, and the schedule for implementation of such changes or proposed changes.

"(2) A Bell Telephone Company shall not disclose to any of its affiliates any information required to be filed under paragraph (1) before that information is so filed.

"(3) When two or more carriers are providing regulated telephone exchange service in the same area of interest, each such carrier shall provide to other such carriers timely information on the deployment of telecommunications equipment.

"(4) The Commission may prescribe such additional regulations under this subsection as may be necessary to ensure that manufacturers in competition with a Bell Telephone Company's manufacturing affiliate have ready and

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 12 1997

equal access to the information required for such competition that such Company makes available to its manufacturing affiliate.

"(e) The Commission shall prescribe regulations requiring that any Bell Telephone Company which has an affiliate that engages in any manufacturing authorized by subsection (a) shall—

"(1) provide, to other manufacturers of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment, opportunities to sell such equipment to such Bell Telephone Company which are comparable to the opportunities which such Company provides to its affiliates;

"(2) not subsidize its manufacturing affiliate with revenues from its regulated telecommunications services; and

"(3) only purchase equipment from its manufacturing affiliate at the open market price.

"(f) A Bell Telephone Company and its affiliates may engage in close collaboration with any manufacturer of customer premises equipment or telecommunications equipment during the design and development of hardware, software, or combinations thereof relating to such equipment.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 13 1997

"(g) The Commission may prescribe such additional rules and regulations as the Commission determines necessary to carry out the provisions of this section.

"(h) For the purposes of administering and enforcing the provisions of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the same authority, power, and functions with respect to any Bell Telephone Company as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

"(i) The authority of the Commission to prescribe regulations to carry out this section is effective on the date of enactment of this section. The Commission shall prescribe such regulations within 180 days after such date of enactment, and the authority to engage in the manufacturing authorized in subsection (a) shall not take effect until regulations prescribed by the Commission under subsections (c), (d), and (e) are in effect.

"(j) Nothing in this section shall prohibit any Bell Telephone Company from engaging, directly or through any affiliate, in any manufacturing activity in which any company or affiliate was authorized to engage on the date of enactment of this section.

"(k) As used in this section:

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 14 1997

"(1) The term 'affiliate' means any organization or entity that, directly or indirectly, owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership with a Bell Telephone Company. Such term includes any organization or entity in which a Bell Telephone Company or any of its affiliates has any financial or management interest.

"(2) The term 'Bell Telephone Company' means those companies listed in appendix A of the Modification of Final Judgment, and includes any successor or assign of any such company, but does not include any affiliate of any such company.

"(3) The term 'customer premises equipment' means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a carrier) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications.

"(4) The term 'manufacturing' has the same meaning as such term has in the Modification of Final Judgment as interpreted in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia) (filed December 3, 1987).

"(5) The term 'Modification of Final Judgment' means the decree entered August 24, 1982, in United

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 15 1997

States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia).

"(6) The term 'telecommunications' means the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received, by means of an electromagnetic transmission medium, including all instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and services (including the collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery of such information) essential to such transmission.

"(7) The term 'telecommunications equipment' means equipment, other than customer premises equipment, used by a carrier to provide telecommunications services.

"(8) The term 'telecommunications service' means the offering for hire of telecommunications facilities, or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 is amended striking "section 224" and inserting in lieu thereof "sections 224 and 225".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 16 1997

Document No. 104

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [v] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [vi] 1997

102D CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

S. 173

To permit the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, and manufacture telecommunications equipment, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JANUARY 14 (legislative day, JANUARY 30, 1991

Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself, Mr. DANFORTH, Mr. BREAUX, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. BURNS, Mr. ADAMS, and Mr. COCHRAN) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

---

A BILL

To permit the Bell Telephone Companies to conduct research on, design, and manufacture telecommunications equipment, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Equipment Research and Manufacturing Competition Act of 1991".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds that the continued economic growth and the international competitiveness of American industry

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

would be assisted by permitting the Bell Telephone Companies, through their affiliates, to manufacture (including design, development, and fabrication) telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment, and to engage in research with respect to such equipment.

SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING BY BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

"SEC. 227. (a) Subject to the requirements of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, a Bell Telephone Company, through an affiliate of that Company, notwithstanding any restriction or obligation imposed before the date of enactment of this section pursuant to the Modification of Final Judgment on the lines of business in which a Bell Telephone Company may engage, may manufacture and provide telecommunications equipment and manufacture customer premises equipment, except that neither a Bell Telephone Company nor any of its affiliates may engage in such manufacturing in conjunction with a Bell Telephone Company not so affiliated or any of its affiliates.

"(b) Any manufacturing or provision authorized under subsection (a) shall be conducted only through an affiliate

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

(hereafter in this section referred to as a 'manufacturing affiliate') that is separate from any Bell Telephone Company.

"(c) The Commission shall prescribe regulations to ensure that—

"(1) such manufacturing affiliate shall maintain books, records, and accounts separate from its affiliated Bell Telephone Company which identify all transactions between the manufacturing affiliate and its affiliated Bell Telephone Company and, even if such manufacturing affiliate is not a publicly held corporation, prepare financial statements which are in compliance with Federal financial reporting requirements for publicly held corporations, file such statements with the Commission, and make such statements available for public inspection;

"(2) consistent with the provisions of this section, neither a Bell Telephone Company nor any of its nonmanufacturing affiliates shall perform sales, advertising, installation, production, or maintenance operations for a manufacturing affiliate; except that institutional advertising, of a type not related to specific telecommunications equipment, carried out by the Bell Telephone Company or its affiliates shall be permitted if each party pays its pro rata share;

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

"(3)(A) such manufacturing affiliate shall conduct all of its manufacturing within the United States and, except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, all component parts of customer premises equipment manufactured by such affiliate, and all component parts of telecommunications equipment manufactured by such affiliate, shall have been manufactured within the United States;

"(B) such affiliate may use component parts manufactured outside the United States if—

"(i) such affiliate first makes a good faith effort to obtain equivalent component parts manufactured within the United States at reasonable prices, terms, and conditions; and

"(ii) for the aggregate of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment manufactured and sold in the United States by such affiliate in any calendar year, the cost of the components manufactured outside the United States contained in the equipment does not exceed 40 percent of the sales revenue derived from such equipment;

"(C) any such affiliate that uses component parts manufactured outside the United States in the manu-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

facture of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment within the United States shall—

"(i) certify to the Commission that a good faith effort was made to obtain equivalent parts manufactured within the United States at reasonable prices, terms, and conditions, which certification shall be filed on a quarterly basis with the Commission and list component parts, by type, manufactured outside the United States; and

"(ii) certify to the Commission on an annual basis that for the aggregate of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment manufactured and sold in the United States by such affiliate in the previous calendar year, the cost of the components manufactured outside the United States contained in such equipment did not exceed the percentage specified in subparagraph (B)(ii) or adjusted in accordance with subparagraph (G);

"(D)(i) if the Commission determines, after reviewing the certification required in subparagraph (C)(i), that such affiliate failed to make the good faith effort required in subparagraph (B)(i) or, after reviewing the certification required in subparagraph (C)(ii), that such affiliate has exceeded the percentage specified in subparagraph (B)(ii), the Commission may

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

impose penalties or forfeitures as provided for in title V of this Act;

"(ii) any supplier claiming to be damaged because a manufacturing affiliate failed to make the good faith effort required in subparagraph (B)(i) may make complaint to the Commission as provided for in section 208 of this Act, or may bring suit for the recovery of actual damages for which such supplier claims such affiliate may be liable under the provisions of this Act in any district court of the United States of competent jurisdiction;

"(E) the Commission, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, shall, on an annual basis, determine the cost of component parts manufactured outside the United States contained in all telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment sold in the United States as a percentage of the revenues from sales of such equipment in the previous calendar year;

"(F) a manufacturing affiliate may use intellectual property created outside the United States in the manufacture of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment in the United States;

"(G) the Commission may not waive or alter the requirements of this subsection, except that the Com-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

mission, on an annual basis, shall adjust the percentage specified in subparagraph (B)(ii) to the percentage determined by the Commission, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, as directed in subparagraph (E);

"(4) no more than 90 per centum of the equity of such manufacturing affiliate shall be owned by its affiliated Bell Telephone Company and any affiliates of that Bell Telephone Company;

"(5) any debt incurred by such manufacturing affiliate may not be issued by its affiliates, and such manufacturing affiliate shall be prohibited from incurring debt in a manner that would permit a creditor, on default, to have recourse to the assets of its affiliated Bell Telephone Company's telecommunications services business;

"(6) such manufacturing affiliate shall not be required to operate separately from the other affiliates of its affiliated Bell Telephone Company;

"(7) if an affiliate of a Bell Telephone Company becomes affiliated with a manufacturing entity, such affiliate shall be treated as a manufacturing affiliate of that Bell Telephone Company within the meaning of subsection (b) and shall comply with the requirements of this section; and

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997

"(8) such manufacturing affiliate shall make available, without discrimination or self-preference as to price, delivery, terms, or conditions, to all local telephone exchange carriers, for use with the public telecommunications network, any telecommunications equipment manufactured by such affiliate so long as each such purchasing carrier—

"(A) does not either manufacture telecommunications equipment, or have a manufacturing affiliate which manufactures telecommunications equipment, or

"(B) agrees to make available, to the Bell Telephone Company affiliated with such manufacturing affiliate or any of the other affiliates of such company, any telecommunications equipment manufactured by such purchasing carrier or by any entity or organization with which such carrier is affiliated.

"(d)(1) The Commission shall prescribe regulations to require that each Bell Telephone Company shall maintain and file with the Commission full and complete information with respect to the protocols and technical requirements for connection with and use of its telephone exchange service facilities. Such regulations shall require each such company to report promptly to the Commission any material changes

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 8 1997

or planned changes to such protocols and requirements, and the schedule for implementation of such changes or planned changes.

"(2) A Bell Telephone Company shall not disclose to any of its affiliates any information required to be filed under paragraph (1) unless that information is immediately so filed.

"(3) When two or more carriers are providing regulated telephone exchange service in the same area of interest, each such carrier shall provide to other such carriers timely information on the deployment of telecommunications equipment.

"(4) The Commission may prescribe such additional regulations under this subsection as may be necessary to ensure that manufacturers in competition with a Bell Telephone Company's manufacturing affiliate have ready and equal access to the information required for such competition that such company makes available to its manufacturing affiliate.

"(c) The Commission shall prescribe regulations requiring that any Bell Telephone Company which has an affiliate that engages in any manufacturing authorized by subsection (a) shall—

"(1) provide, to other manufacturers of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment, opportunities to sell such equipment to such Bell Telephone Company which are comparable to the op-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 9 1997

portunities which such Company provides to its affiliates;

"(2) not subsidize its manufacturing affiliate with revenues from its regulated telecommunications services; and

"(3) only purchase equipment from its manufacturing affiliate at the open market price.

"(f) A Bell Telephone Company and its affiliates may engage in close collaboration with any manufacturer of customer premises equipment or telecommunications equipment during the design and development of hardware, software, or combinations thereof relating to such equipment.

"(g) The Commission may prescribe such additional rules and regulations as the Commission determines necessary to carry out the provisions of this section.

"(h) For the purposes of administering and enforcing the provisions of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the same authority, power, and functions with respect to any Bell Telephone Company as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

"(i) The authority of the Commission to prescribe regulations to carry out this section is effective on the date of enactment of this section. The Commission shall prescribe

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 10 1997

such regulations within one hundred and eighty days after such date of enactment, and the authority to engage in the manufacturing authorized in subsection (a) shall not take effect until regulations prescribed by the Commission under subsections (c), (d), and (e) are in effect.

"(j) Nothing in this section shall prohibit any Bell Telephone Company from engaging, directly or through any affiliate, in any manufacturing activity in which any Company or affiliate was authorized to engage on the date of enactment of this section.

"(k) As used in this section:

"(1) The term 'affiliate' means any organization or entity that, directly or indirectly, owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership with a Bell Telephone Company. Such term includes any organization or entity (A) in which a Bell Telephone Company and any of its affiliates have an equity interest of greater than 10 percent, or a management interest of greater than 10 percent, or (B) in which a Bell Telephone Company and any of its affiliates have any other significant financial interest.

"(2) The term 'Bell Telephone Company' means those companies listed in appendix A of the Modification of Final Judgment, and includes any successor or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 11 1997

assign of any such company, but does not include any affiliate of any such company.

"(3) The term 'customer premises equipment' means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a carrier) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications.

"(4) The term 'manufacturing' has the same meaning as such term has in the Modification of Final Judgment as interpreted in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia) (filed December 3, 1987).

"(5) The term 'Modification of Final Judgment' means the decree entered August 24, 1982, in United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia).

"(6) The term 'telecommunications' means the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received, by means of an electromagnetic transmission medium, including all instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and services (including the collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery of such information) essential to such transmission.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 12 1997

"(7) The term 'telecommunications equipment' means equipment, other than customer premises equipment, used by a carrier to provide telecommunications services.

"(8) The term 'telecommunications service' means the offering for hire of telecommunications facilities, or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.".

○

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 13 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 14 1997

Document No. 105

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [vii] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [viii] 1997

102D CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 5096

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled U.S. v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 7, 1992

Mr. BROOKS introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

---

A BILL

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled U.S. v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Antitrust Reform Act of 1992".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION FOR BELL OPERATING COMPANY MONOPOLY TO ENTER COMPETITIVE LINES OF BUSINESS.

(a) APPLICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—After the applicable date specified in paragraph (2), a Bell operating company may apply to the Attorney General for authorization, notwithstanding the Modification of Final Judgment—

(A) to engage in research and development relating to telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment,

(B) to provide information services,

(C) to manufacture or provide telecommunications equipment, or manufacture customer premises equipment, or

(D) to provide interexchange telecommunications.

The application shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity, and of each product market, service market, and geographic market, for which authorization is sought.

(2) APPLICABLE DATES.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the applicable date after which a Bell operating company may apply for authorization shall be—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

(A) the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to—

(i) engaging in research and development relating to telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment, or

(ii) engaging in any activity described in subparagraph (B), (C), or (D), to the extent that such company proves, with respect to each product market, service market, and geographic market to which the activity relates, that—

(I) there exists no actual or potential competition, and

(II) there exists a compelling competitive need, such as a compelling competitive need regarding services for individuals with disabilities,

(B) except as provided in subparagraph (A)(ii), the date that occurs 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to providing information services (other than electronic publishing),

(C) except as provided in subparagraph (A)(ii), the date that occurs 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

manufacturing or providing telecommunications equipment, or manufacturing customer premises equipment, and

(D) except as provided in subparagraph (A)(ii), the date that occurs 7 years after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to providing interexchange telecommunications or electronic publishing.

(3) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after receiving an application made under paragraph (1), the Attorney General shall publish the application in the Federal Register.

(b) DETERMINATION BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.—

(1) COMMENT PERIOD.—Not later than 60 days after the application is published under subsection (a)(3), interested persons may submit comments to the Attorney General regarding the application.

(2) DETERMINATION.—(A) After the time for comment under paragraph (1) has expired, but not later than 120 days after the application is published under subsection (a)(3), the Attorney General shall issue a written determination with respect to granting the authorization for which the Bell operating company has applied.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

(B)(i) The Attorney General shall grant such authorization only to the extent that the Attorney General believes that such company would satisfy the proof requirements described in subsection (c)(2)(A)(i).

(ii) The Attorney General shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization.

(C) A determination granting any part of a requested authorization shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity and of each product market, service market, and geographic market to which the authorization granted applies.

(3) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after issuing a determination under paragraph (2), the Attorney General shall publish the determination in the Federal Register, together with a description of the findings, studies, and analyses relied on for the determination.

(4) FINALITY.—The Attorney General's determination regarding an application made under this subsection shall be final unless a civil action with respect to such application is timely commenced under subsection (c)(1).

(c) DE NOVO JUDICIAL DETERMINATION.—

(1) CIVIL ACTION.—Not later than—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

(A) 60 days after a determination by the Attorney General is published under subsection (b)(3), or

(B) 60 days after the expiration of the 130-day period beginning on the date the Attorney General receives an application made under subsection (a)(1),

whichever occurs earlier, the Bell operating company that applied to the Attorney General under subsection (a), or any person who might be injured in its business or property as a result of any determination regarding such company's engaging in the activity described in such company's application, may commence a civil action against the Attorney General, in any district court of the United States in the district in which such company resides or is found or has an agent, for a de novo determination regarding the application. Such company and any such person shall also have the right to intervene as a party in the civil action.

(2) JUDGMENT.—(A)(i) The court shall enter a judgment granting the authorization for which the Bell operating company applied to the Attorney General only to the extent that such company—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

(I) proves that there is no substantial possibility that such company or its affiliates could use monopoly power to impede competition in any relevant market for the activity to which the application relates, and

(II) satisfies the proof requirement described in subsection (a)(2)(A)(ii) if such proof requirement applies.

(ii) The court shall enter a judgment denying the remainder of the requested authorization.

(B) A judgment granting any part of a requested authorization shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity and of each product market, service market, and geographic market to which the authorization granted applies.

(3) STAY.—A judgment entered under paragraph (2) shall be stayed until the time for all appeals with respect to such judgment has expired.

SEC. 3. AUTHORIZATION AS PREREQUISITE.

(a) PREREQUISITE.—Until a Bell operating company is so authorized in accordance with section 2, it shall be unlawful for such company, directly or through an affiliated enterprise, to engage in an activity described in section 2(a)(1).

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997

(b) EXCEPTION FOR PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—Subsection (a) shall not prohibit a Bell operating company from engaging—

(1) in any activity to the extent authorized by an order entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to section VIII(C) of the Modification of Final Judgment, if—

(A) such order was entered on or before May 6, 1992, or

(B) a request for such authorization was pending before such court on May 6, 1992, or

(2) in research and development in which any such company was lawfully engaged at any time in the period beginning on January 1, 1984, and ending on May 6, 1992.

SEC. 4. PROHIBITIONS.

(a) ANTICOMPETITIVE DISCRIMINATION.—A Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market that is engaged (directly or through an affiliated enterprise) in an activity described in section 2(a)(1) shall not discriminate, in any relevant market, between itself or an affiliated enterprise and any other person, or between any two such other persons, with respect to any product or service related to the provision or use of a telecommunications service.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 8 1997

(b) ANTICOMPETITIVE CROSS-SUBSIDIES.—A Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market shall not use (directly or indirectly) proceeds obtained from providing exchange service in such market to subsidize, in any relevant market, an activity described in section 2(a)(1).

(c) ANTICOMPETITIVE CONCENTRATION AMONG BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), a Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market shall not become an affiliated enterprise of, or acquire (directly or indirectly) any exchange service assets of, another Bell operating company.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not prohibit any acquisition by a Bell operating company from another Bell operating company if the 2 companies are affiliates of each other on the date of such acquisition and were affiliates of each other on May 6, 1992.

(d) ANTICOMPETITIVE JOINT ACTIVITY AMONG BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), it shall be unlawful for a Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market to engage jointly (directly or through an affiliated enterprise) with another Bell operating company, in any

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 9 1997

relevant market, in an activity described in section 2(a)(1).

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—Paragraph (1) shall not prohibit Bell operating companies from jointly engaging in an activity—

(A) at Bell Communications Research (commonly known as "Bellcore") if such companies were lawfully engaging in such activity at Bell Communications Research at any time in the period beginning on January 1, 1984, and ending on May 6, 1992,

(B) if such companies are affiliates of each other while jointly engaging in such activity and were affiliates of each other on May 6, 1992, or

(C) if such companies were lawfully engaging jointly in such activity on May 6, 1992.

SEC. 5. COMPLIANCE.

(a) DUTY TO ADVISE CERTAIN MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES OF OBLIGATIONS UNDER ACT.—Each Bell operating company shall advise, in writing, each of its officers and other management personnel with significant responsibility for matters addressed in this Act, of the requirements of this Act, and that violations of this Act may result in criminal liability.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 10 1997

(b) CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE.—Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar year, the chief executive officer of (or another officer responsible for the operation of) each Bell operating company that is not (directly or indirectly) owned or controlled by another Bell operating company shall certify in writing to the Attorney General whether such company and its affiliates have complied throughout such year with sections 3 and 4 and with subsection (a).

SEC. 6. ENFORCEMENT.

(a) EQUITABLE POWERS OF UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS.—It shall be the duty of the several United States attorneys, under the direction of the Attorney General, to institute proceedings in equity in their respective districts to prevent and restrain violations of this Act.

(b) CRIMINAL LIABILITY.—Whoever knowingly engages or knowingly attempts to engage in an activity that is prohibited by section 3, 4, or 5 shall be guilty of a felony, and on conviction thereof, shall be punished to the same extent as a person is punished upon conviction of a violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1).

(c) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—Any person who is injured in its business or property by reason of a violation of this Act—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 11 1997

(1) may bring a civil action in any district court of the United States in the district in which the defendant resides or is found or has an agent, without respect to the amount in controversy, and

(2) shall recover threefold the damages sustained, and the cost of suit (including a reasonable attorney's fee).

The court may award under this section, pursuant to a motion by such person promptly made, simple interest on actual damages for the period beginning on the date of service of such person's pleading setting forth a claim under this Act and ending on the date of judgment, or for any shorter period therein, if the court finds that the award of such interest for such period is just in the circumstances.

(d) PRIVATE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.—Any person shall be entitled to sue for and have injunctive relief, in any court of the United States having jurisdiction over the parties, against threatened loss or damage by a violation of this Act, when and under the same conditions and principles as injunctive relief is available under section 16 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 26). In any action under this subsection in which the plaintiff substantially prevails, the court shall award the cost of suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee, to such plaintiff.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 12 1997

(e) JURISDICTION.—(1) The courts of the United States shall have exclusive jurisdiction to make determinations with respect to a duty, claim, or right arising under this Act, other than a determination by the Attorney General under section 2(b)(2).

(2) No action commenced to assert or enforce a duty, claim, or right arising under this Act shall be stayed pending any such determination by the Attorney General.

(f) SUBPOENAS.—In an action commenced under this Act, a subpoena requiring the attendance of a witness at a hearing or a trial may be served at any place within the United States.

SEC. 7. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act:

(1) AFFILIATE.—The term "affiliate" means a person that (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, another person. For purposes of this paragraph, to own refers to owning an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 50 percent.

(2) AFFILIATED ENTERPRISE.—The term "affiliated enterprise" means, with respect to a Bell operating company, a person—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 13 1997

(A) that such company or its affiliate (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership with, to any extent whatsoever, or

(B) in whose gross revenues such company or its affiliate has any direct or indirect financial or proprietary interest, through a revenue sharing arrangement, royalty arrangement, or otherwise.

(3) ANTITRUST LAWS.—The term "antitrust laws" has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)), except that such term includes the Act of June 19, 1936 (49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13 et seq.), commonly known as the Robinson Patman Act, and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to the extent that such section 5 applies to monopolies, attempts to monopolize, and unlawful restraints of trade.

(4) BELL OPERATING COMPANY.—The term "Bell operating company" means—

(A) Bell Telephone Company of Nevada, Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, New England Tele-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 14 1997

phone and Telegraph Company, New Jersey Bell Telephone Company, New York Telephone Company, US West Communications Company, South Central Bell Telephone Company, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, The Bell Telephone Company of Pennsylvania, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of West Virginia, The Diamond State Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, or Wisconsin Telephone Company,

(B) any successor or assign of any such company, or

(C) any affiliate of any person described in subparagraph (A) or (B).

(5) CUSTOMER PREMISES EQUIPMENT.—The term "customer premises equipment" means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a person engaged in the business of providing a telecommunications service) to originate, route, or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 15 1997

terminate telecommunications, and includes software relating to such equipment.

(6) ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING.—The term "electronic publishing" means the provision via telecommunications, by a Bell operating company or affiliated enterprise to a person other than an affiliate of such company, of information—

(A) which such company or affiliated enterprise has, or has caused to be, originated, authored, compiled, collected, or edited, or

(B) in which such company or affiliated enterprise has a direct or indirect financial or proprietary interest.

(7) EXCHANGE AREA.—The term "exchange area" means a contiguous geographic area established by a Bell operating company such that no exchange area includes points within more than 1 standard metropolitan statistical area, consolidated statistical area, or State, except as expressly permitted under the Modification of Final Judgment before May 6, 1992.

(8) EXCHANGE ACCESS.—The term "exchange access" means exchange services provided for the purpose of originating or terminating interexchange telecommunications.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 16 1997

(9) EXCHANGE SERVICE.—The term "exchange service" means a telecommunications service provided within an exchange area.

(10) INFORMATION.—The term "information" means knowledge or intelligence represented by any form of writing, signs, signals, pictures, sounds, or other symbols.

(11) INFORMATION ACCESS.—The term "information access" means specialized exchange services provided by a Bell operating company for the purpose of originating, terminating, transmitting, forwarding, or routing telecommunications to or from a provider of information services.

(12) INFORMATION SERVICE.—The term "information service" means the offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include the use of any such capability to engage in the business of providing an exchange service.

(13) INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term "interexchange telecommunications" means telecommunications between a point

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 17 1997

located in an exchange area and a point located outside such exchange area.

(14) MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT.—The term "Modification of Final Judgment" means the order entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled U.S. v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and includes any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after August 24, 1982.

(15) PERSON.—The term "person" has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)).

(16) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—The term "research and development" means—

(A) theoretical analysis, experimentation, or systematic study of phenomena or observable facts,

(B) development or testing of basic engineering techniques,

(C) extension of investigative findings or theory of a scientific or technical nature into practical application for experimental or demonstration purposes, but does not include production or testing of models or prototypes,

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 18 1997

(D) collection or analysis of research information,

(E) establishment or operation of facilities for conducting any activity included under subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D), or

(F) prosecution of applications for patents, or the granting of licenses, for the results of any such activity.

(17) TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term "telecommunications" means the transmission of information between points by electromagnetic means.

(18) TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.—The term "telecommunications equipment" means equipment, other than customer premises equipment, used to provide a telecommunications service, and includes software relating to such equipment.

(19) TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE.—The term "telecommunications service" means the offering for hire of transmission facilities or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.

(20) TRANSMISSION FACILITIES.—The term "transmission facilities" means equipment (including wire, cable, microwave, satellite, and fiber-optics) that transmits information by electromagnetic means

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 19 1997

or that directly supports such transmission, but does not include customer premises equipment.

SEC. 8. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.

(a) MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT.—This Act shall supersede the Modification of Final Judgment, except that this Act shall not affect—

(1) section I of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to AT&T reorganization,

(2) section II(A) (including Appendix B) and II(B) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to equal access and nondiscrimination,

(3) section IV(F) and IV(I) of the Modification of Final Judgment, with respect to the requirements included in the definitions of "exchange access" and "information access",

(4) section VIII(B) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to printed advertising directories,

(5) section VIII(E) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to notice to customers of AT&T,

(6) section VIII(F) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to less than equal exchange access,

(7) section VIII(G) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to transfer of AT&T assets, in-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 20 1997

cluding all exceptions granted thereunder before May 6, 1992,

(8) with respect to the parts of the Modification of Final Judgment described in paragraphs (1) through (7)—

(A) section III of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to applicability,

(B) section IV of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to definitions,

(C) section V of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to compliance,

(D) section VI of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to visitorial provisions,

(E) section VII of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to retention of jurisdiction, and

(F) section VIII(I) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to the court's sua sponte authority.

(b) ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede the applicability of any other antitrust law.

(c) FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAW.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), this Act shall not be con-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 21 1997

strued to modify, impair, or supersede Federal, State, or local law other than law expressly referred to in this Act.

(2) This Act shall supersede State and local law to the extent that such law would impair or prevent the operation of this Act.

(d) CUMULATIVE PENALTY.—Any penalty imposed, or relief granted, under this Act shall be in addition to, and not in lieu of, any penalty or relief authorized by any other law to be imposed with respect to conduct described in this Act.

SEC. 9. AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION OF ANTITRUST LAWS APPEARING IN THE CLAYTON ACT.

Subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)) is amended by inserting "the Antitrust Reform Act of 1992;" after "thirteen;".

O

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 22 1997

Document No. 106

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [ix] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [x] 1997

Union Calendar No. 487

102D CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 5096

[Report No. 102–850]

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled U.S. v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 7, 1992

Mr. BROOKS introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

AUGUST 12, 1992

Additional sponsors: Mr. BRYANT, Mr. SYNAR, Mr. STAGGERS, Mr. HUBBARD, and Mr. JONES of North Carolina

AUGUST 12, 1992

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on May 7, 1992]

A BILL

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled U.S. v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; and for other purposes.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Antitrust Reform Act of 1992".

SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION FOR BELL OPERATING COMPANY MONOPOLY TO ENTER COMPETITIVE LINES OF BUSINESS.

(a) APPLICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—After the applicable date specified in paragraph (2), a Bell operating company may apply to the Attorney General for authorization, notwithstanding the Modification of Final Judgment—

(A) to engage in research and development relating to telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment,

(B) to provide information services,

(C) to manufacture or provide telecommunications equipment, or manufacture customer premises equipment, or

(D) to provide interexchange telecommunications.

The application shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity, and of each product

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

market, service market, and geographic market, for which authorization is sought.

(2) APPLICABLE DATES.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the applicable date after which a Bell operating company may apply for authorization shall be the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after receiving an application made under paragraph (1), the Attorney General shall publish the application in the Federal Register.

(b) DETERMINATION BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.—

(1) COMMENT PERIOD.—Not later than 60 days after the application is published under subsection (a)(3), interested persons may submit comments to the Attorney General regarding the application.

(2) DETERMINATION.—(A) After the time for comment under paragraph (1) has expired, but not later than 120 days after the application is published under subsection (a)(3), the Attorney General shall issue a written determination with respect to granting the authorization for which the Bell operating company has applied.

(B)(i) The Attorney General shall grant such authorization only to the extent that the Attorney General believes that such company would satisfy the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

proof requirements described in subsection (c)(2)(A)(i).

(ii) The Attorney General shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization.

(C) A determination granting any part of a requested authorization shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity and of each product market, service market, and geographic market to which the authorization granted applies.

(3) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after issuing a determination under paragraph (2), the Attorney General shall publish the determination in the Federal Register, together with a description of the findings, studies, and analyses relied on for the determination.

(4) FINALITY.—The Attorney General's determination regarding an application made under this subsection shall be final unless a civil action with respect to such application is timely commenced under subsection (c)(1).

(c) DE NOVO JUDICIAL DETERMINATION.—

(1) CIVIL ACTION.—Not later than—

(A) 60 days after a determination by the Attorney General is published under subsection (b)(3), or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

(B) 60 days after the expiration of the 130-day period beginning on the date the Attorney General receives an application made under subsection (a)(1),

whichever occurs earlier, the Bell operating company that applied to the Attorney General under subsection (a), or any person who might be injured in its business or property as a result of any determination regarding such company's engaging in the activity described in such company's application, may commence a civil action against the Attorney General, in any district court of the United States in the district in which such company resides or is found or has an agent, for a de novo determination regarding the application. Such company and any such person shall also have the right to intervene as a party in the civil action.

(2) JUDGMENT.—(A)(i) The court shall enter a judgment granting the authorization for which the Bell operating company applied to the Attorney General only to the extent that such company proves that there is no substantial possibility that such company or its affiliates could use monopoly power to impede competition in any relevant market for the activity to which the application relates.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

(ii) The court shall enter a judgment denying the remainder of the requested authorization.

(B) A judgment granting any part of a requested authorization shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity and of each product market, service market, and geographic market to which the authorization granted applies.

(3) STAY.—A judgment entered under paragraph (2) shall be stayed until the time for all appeals with respect to such judgment has expired.

(d) SPECIAL APPLICABLE DATE.—For purposes of subsection (a)(1), the applicable date for which a Bell operating company may apply for authorization with respect to providing interexchange telecommunications, or an information service relating to an alarm monitoring service, shall be 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. AUTHORIZATION AS PREREQUISITE.

(a) PREREQUISITE.—Until a Bell operating company is so authorized in accordance with section 2, it shall be unlawful for such company, directly or through an affiliated enterprise, to engage in an activity described in section 2(a)(1).

(b) EXCEPTION FOR PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—Subsection (a) shall not prohibit a Bell operating company from engaging—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

(1) in any activity to the extent authorized by an order entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to section VIII(C) of the Modification of Final Judgment, if—

(A) such order was entered on or before the date of the enactment of this Act, or

(B) a request for such authorization was pending before such court on the date of the enactment of this Act,

(2) in research and development in which any such company was lawfully engaged at any time in the period beginning on January 1, 1984, and ending on the date of the enactment of this Act, or

(3) in providing a specific information service (other than an information service relating to an alarm monitoring service) in a particular geographic market to the extent such company was lawfully engaged in providing such service to customers in such market at any time in the period beginning on October 7, 1991, and ending 60 days before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4. PROHIBITIONS.

(a) ANTICOMPETITIVE DISCRIMINATION.—A Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market that is engaged (directly or through an affiliated

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997

enterprise) in an activity described in section 2(a)(1) shall not discriminate, in any relevant market, between itself or an affiliated enterprise and any other person, or between any two such other persons, with respect to any product or service related to the provision or use of a telecommunications service if the effect of such discrimination may be to substantially lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, in any line of commerce.

(b) ANTICOMPETITIVE CROSS-SUBSIDIES.—A Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market shall not use (directly or indirectly) proceeds obtained from providing exchange service in such market to subsidize, in any relevant market, an activity described in section 2(a)(1).

(c) ANTICOMPETITIVE CONCENTRATION AMONG BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), a Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market shall not become an affiliated enterprise of, or acquire (directly or indirectly) any exchange service assets of, another Bell operating company if the effect of such affiliation or acquisition may be to substantially lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, in any line of commerce.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not prohibit any acquisition by a Bell operating company from another Bell operating

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 8 1997

company if the 2 companies are affiliates of each other on the date of such acquisition and were affiliates of each other on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) ANTICOMPETITIVE JOINT ACTIVITY AMONG BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), it shall be unlawful for a Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market to engage jointly (directly or through an affiliated enterprise) with another Bell operating company, in any relevant market, in an activity described in section 2(a)(1) in restraint of trade.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—Paragraph (1) shall not prohibit Bell operating companies from jointly engaging in an activity—

(A) at Bell Communications Research (commonly known as "Bellcore") if such companies were lawfully engaging in such activity at Bell Communications Research at any time in the period beginning on January 1, 1984, and ending on the date of the enactment of this Act,

(B) if such companies are affiliates of each other while jointly engaging in such activity and were affiliates of each other on the date of the enactment of this Act, or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 9 1997

(C) if such companies were lawfully engaging jointly in such activity on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 5. COMPLIANCE.

(a) DUTY TO ADVISE CERTAIN MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES OF OBLIGATIONS UNDER ACT.—Each Bell operating company shall advise, in writing, each of its officers and other management personnel with significant responsibility for matters addressed in this Act, of the requirements of this Act, and that violations of this Act may result in criminal liability.

(b) CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE.—Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar year, the chief executive officer of (or another officer responsible for the operation of) each Bell operating company that is not (directly or indirectly) owned or controlled by another Bell operating company shall certify in writing to the Attorney General whether such company and its affiliates have complied throughout such year with sections 3 and 4 and with subsection (a).

SEC. 6. ENFORCEMENT.

(a) EQUITABLE POWERS OF UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS.—It shall be the duty of the several United States attorneys, under the direction of the Attorney General, to in-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 10 1997

stitute proceedings in equity in their respective districts to prevent and restrain violations of this Act.

(b) CRIMINAL LIABILITY.—Whoever knowingly engages or knowingly attempts to engage in an activity that is prohibited by section 3, 4, or 5 shall be guilty of a felony, and on conviction thereof, shall be punished to the same extent as a person is punished upon conviction of a violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1).

(c) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—Any person who is injured in its business or property by reason of a violation of this Act—

(1) may bring a civil action in any district court of the United States in the district in which the defendant resides or is found or has an agent, without respect to the amount in controversy, and

(2) shall recover threefold the damages sustained, and the cost of suit (including a reasonable attorney's fee).

The court may award under this section, pursuant to a motion by such person promptly made, simple interest on actual damages for the period beginning on the date of service of such person's pleading setting forth a claim under this Act and ending on the date of judgment, or for any shorter period therein, if the court finds that the award of such interest for such period is just in the circumstances.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 11 1997

(d) PRIVATE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.—Any person shall be entitled to sue for and have injunctive relief, in any court of the United States having jurisdiction over the parties, against threatened loss or damage by a violation of this Act, when and under the same conditions and principles as injunctive relief is available under section 16 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 26). In any action under this subsection in which the plaintiff substantially prevails, the court shall award the cost of suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee, to such plaintiff.

(e) JURISDICTION.—(1) The courts of the United States shall have exclusive jurisdiction to make determinations with respect to a duty, claim, or right arising under this Act, other than a determination by the Attorney General under section 2(b)(2).

(2) No action commenced to assert or enforce a duty, claim, or right arising under this Act shall be stayed pending any such determination by the Attorney General.

(f) SUBPOENAS.—In an action commenced under this Act, a subpoena requiring the attendance of a witness at a hearing or a trial may be served at any place within the United States.

SEC. 7. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act:

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 12 1997

(1) AFFILIATE.—The term "affiliate" means a person that (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, another person. For purposes of this paragraph, to own refers to owning an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 50 percent.

(2) AFFILIATED ENTERPRISE.—The term "affiliated enterprise" means, with respect to a Bell operating company, a person—

(A) that such company or its affiliate (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership with, to any extent whatsoever, or

(B) in whose gross revenues such company or its affiliate has any direct or indirect financial or proprietary interest, through a revenue sharing arrangement, royalty arrangement, or otherwise.

(3) ANTITRUST LAWS.—The term "antitrust laws" has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)), except that such term includes the Act of June 19, 1936 (49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13 et seq.), commonly known as the Robinson Patman Act, and section 5 of

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 13 1997

the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to the extent that such section 5 applies to monopolies, attempts to monopolize, and unlawful restraints of trade.

(4) BELL OPERATING COMPANY.—The term "Bell operating company" means—

(A) Bell Telephone Company of Nevada, Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, New England Telephone and Telegraph Company, New Jersey Bell Telephone Company, New York Telephone Company, US West Communications Company, South Central Bell Telephone Company, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, The Bell Telephone Company of Pennsylvania, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of West Virginia, The Diamond State Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, The Pacific

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 14 1997

Telephone and Telegraph Company, or Wisconsin Telephone Company,

(B) any successor or assign of any such company, or

(C) any affiliate of any person described in subparagraph (A) or (B).

(5) CUSTOMER PREMISES EQUIPMENT.—The term "customer premises equipment" means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a person engaged in the business of providing a telecommunications service) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications, and includes software relating to such equipment.

(6) ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING.—The term "electronic publishing" means the provision via telecommunications, by a Bell operating company or affiliated enterprise to a person other than an affiliate of such company, of information—

(A) which such company or affiliated enterprise has, or has caused to be, originated, authored, compiled, collected, or edited, or

(B) in which such company or affiliated enterprise has a direct or indirect financial or proprietary interest.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 15 1997

(7) EXCHANGE AREA.—The term "exchange area" means a contiguous geographic area established by a Bell operating company such that no exchange area includes points within more than 1 standard metropolitan statistical area, consolidated statistical area, or State, except as expressly permitted under the Modification of Final Judgment before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(8) EXCHANGE ACCESS.—The term "exchange access" means exchange services provided for the purpose of originating or terminating interexchange telecommunications.

(9) EXCHANGE SERVICE.—The term "exchange service" means a telecommunications service provided within an exchange area.

(10) INFORMATION.—The term "information" means knowledge or intelligence represented by any form of writing, signs, signals, pictures, sounds, or other symbols.

(11) INFORMATION ACCESS.—The term "information access" means specialized exchange services provided by a Bell operating company for the purpose of originating, terminating, transmitting, forwarding, or routing telecommunications to or from a provider of information services.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 16 1997

(12) INFORMATION SERVICE.—The term "information service" means the offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include the use of any such capability to engage in the business of providing an exchange service.

(13) INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term "interexchange telecommunications" means telecommunications between a point located in an exchange area and a point located outside such exchange area.

(14) MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT.—The term "Modification of Final Judgment" means the order entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled U.S. v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and includes any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after August 24, 1982.

(15) PERSON.—The term "person" has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)).

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 17 1997

(16) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—The term "research and development" means—

(A) theoretical analysis, experimentation, or systematic study of phenomena or observable facts,

(B) development or testing of basic engineering techniques,

(C) extension of investigative findings or theory of a scientific or technical nature into practical application for experimental or demonstration purposes, but does not include production or testing of models or prototypes,

(D) collection or analysis of research information,

(E) establishment or operation of facilities for conducting any activity included under subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D), or

(F) prosecution of applications for patents, or the granting of licenses, for the results of any such activity.

(17) TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term "telecommunications" means the transmission of information between points by electromagnetic means.

(18) TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.—The term "telecommunications equipment" means equip-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 18 1997

ment, other than customer premises equipment, used to provide a telecommunications service, and includes software relating to such equipment.

(19) TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE.—The term "telecommunications service" means the offering for hire of transmission facilities or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.

(20) TRANSMISSION FACILITIES.—The term "transmission facilities" means equipment (including wire, cable, microwave, satellite, and fiber-optics) that transmits information by electromagnetic means or that directly supports such transmission, but does not include customer premises equipment.

SEC. 8. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.

(a) MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT.—This Act shall supersede the Modification of Final Judgment, except that this Act shall not affect—

(1) section I of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to AT&T reorganization,

(2) section II(A) (including Appendix B) and II(B) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to equal access and nondiscrimination,

(3) section IV(F) and IV(I) of the Modification of Final Judgment, with respect to the requirements

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 19 1997

included in the definitions of "exchange access" and "information access",

(4) section VIII(B) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to printed advertising directories,

(5) section VIII(E) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to notice to customers of AT&T,

(6) section VIII(F) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to less than equal exchange access,

(7) section VIII(G) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to transfer of AT&T assets, including all exceptions granted thereunder before the date of the enactment of this Act,

(8) with respect to the parts of the Modification of Final Judgment described in paragraphs (1) through (7)—

(A) section III of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to applicability,

(B) section IV of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to definitions,

(C) section V of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to compliance,

(D) section VI of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to visitorial provisions,

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 20 1997

(E) section VII of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to retention of jurisdiction, and

(F) section VIII(I) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to the court's sua sponte authority.

(b) ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede the applicability of any other antitrust law.

(c) FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAW.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), this Act shall not be construed to modify, impair, or supersede Federal, State, or local law other than law expressly referred to in this Act.

(2) This Act shall supersede State and local law to the extent that such law would impair or prevent the operation of this Act.

(d) CUMULATIVE PENALTY.—Any penalty imposed, or relief granted, under this Act shall be in addition to, and not in lieu of, any penalty or relief authorized by any other law to be imposed with respect to conduct described in this Act.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 21 1997

SEC. 9. AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION OF ANTITRUST LAWS APPEARING IN THE CLAYTON ACT.

Subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)) is amended by inserting "the Antitrust Reform Act of 1992;" after "thirteen;".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 22 1997

Document No. 107

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xi] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xii] 1997

103D CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

S. 544

To amend the Federal Power Act to protect consumers of multistate utility systems, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MARCH 10 (legislative day, MARCH 3), 1993

Mr. BUMPERS introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources

---

A BILL

To amend the Federal Power Act to protect consumers of multistate utility systems, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be referred to as the "Multistate Utility Company Consumer Protection Act of 1993".

SEC. 2. AFFILIATE CHARGES.

(a) Section 205(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824d(a)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" immediately after "(a)"; and

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Notwithstanding any provision of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, if a public utility engages in a transaction with an affiliated company, the Commission shall have the authority to review and disallow the costs associated with such transaction for the purposes of determining a just and reasonable rate under subsection (a)(1).".

(b) Section 206(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824e(a)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" immediately after "(a)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Notwithstanding any provision of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, if a public utility engages in a transaction with an affiliated company, the Commission shall have the authority to review and disallow the costs associated with such transaction for the purposes of determining a just and reasonable rate under subsection (a)(1).".

o

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

Document No. 108

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xiii] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xiv] 1997

Calendar No. 592

103D CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 544

[Report No. 103-351]

To amend the Federal Power Act to protect consumers of multistate utility systems, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MARCH 10 (legislative day, MARCH 3), 1993

Mr. BUMPERS (for himself and Mr. METZENBAUM) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources

AUGUST 22 (legislative day, AUGUST 18), 1994

Reported by Mr. JOHNSTON, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be referred to as the "Multistate Utility Company Consumer Protection Act of 1993".

SEC. 2. AFFILIATE CHARGES.

(a) Section 205(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824d(a)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" immediately after "(a)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Notwithstanding any provision of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, if a public utility engages in a transaction with an affiliated company, the Commission shall have the authority to review and disallow the costs associated with such transaction for the purposes of determining a just and reasonable rate under subsection (a)(1).".

(b) Section 206(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824e(a)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" immediately after "(a)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Notwithstanding any provision of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, if a public utility engages in a transaction with an affiliated company, the Commission shall have the authority to review and disallow the costs associated with such transaction for the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

~~purposes of determining a just and reasonable rate under subsection (a)(1).".~~

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994".

SEC. 2. AUTHORITY TO DISALLOW RECOVERY OF CERTAIN COSTS UNDER FEDERAL POWER ACT.

Section 318 of the Federal Power Act is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a)" after "Sec. 318."; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new subsections:

"(b)(1) The Commission shall have the authority to disallow recovery in jurisdictional rates of any costs incurred by a public utility pursuant to a transaction that has been authorized under section 13(b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, including costs allocated to such public utility in accordance with subsection (d), if the Commission determines that the recovery of such costs is unjust, unreasonable, or unduly preferential or discriminatory under sections 205 or 206 of this Act.

"(2) Nothing in the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, or any actions taken thereunder, shall prevent a State commission from exercising its jurisdiction to the extent otherwise authorized under applicable law with respect to the recovery by a public utility in its retail rates

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

of costs incurred by such public utility pursuant to a transaction authorized by the Securities and Exchange Commission under section 13(b) between an associate company and such public utility, including costs allocated to such public utility in accordance with subsection (d).

"(c) In any proceeding of the Commission to consider the recovery of costs described in subsection (b)(1), there shall be a rebuttable presumption that such costs are just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory or preferential within the meaning of this Act.

"(d)(1) In any proceeding of the Commission to consider the recovery of costs, the Commission shall give substantial deference to an allocation of charges for services, construction work or goods among associate companies under section 13 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, whether made by rule, regulation, or order of the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to or following the enactment of this subsection.

"(2) If the Commission pursuant to subsection (d)(1) establishes an allocation of charges that differs from an allocation established by the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the same charges, the allocation established by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall be effective twelve months from the date of the order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission establishing such

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

allocation, and binding on the Securities and Exchange Commission as of that date.

"(e) An allocation of charges for services, construction work, or goods among associate companies under section 13 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, whether made by rule, regulation, or order of the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to or following enactment of this subsection, shall prevent a State commission from using a different allocation with respect to the assignment of costs to any associate company.

"(f) Subsection (b) shall not apply to—

"(1) any cost incurred and recovered prior to July 15, 1994, whether or not subject to refund or adjustment; or

"(2) any uncontested settlement approved by the Commission or a State commission prior to the date of enactment of the Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994.

"(g) IMPACT ON OTHER MATTERS.—The enactment of the Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994 shall in no way affect FERC Docket No. FA89–28.

"(h) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Section 318(b) of the Federal Power Act shall not apply to any cost incurred and recovered prior to the date of enactment of the Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994 pursuant to a con-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

tract or other arrangement for the sale of fuel from Windsor Coal Company or Central Ohio Coal Company which has been the subject of a determination by the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the date of enactment of the Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994, or any cost prudently incurred after the date of enactment of the Multistate Utility Consumer Protection Act of 1994 pursuant to such a contract or other such arrangement on or before December 31, 2000.".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

Document No. 109

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xv] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xvi] 1997

103D CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 3626

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to regulate the manufacturing of Bell operating companies, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 22, 1993

Mr. BROOKS (for himself and Mr. DINGELL) introduced the following bill; which was referred jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Energy and Commerce

---

A BILL

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to regulate the manufacturing of Bell operating companies, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLES.

(a) TITLE I.—Title I of this Act may be cited as the "Antitrust Reform Act of 1993".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

(b) TITLE II.—Title II of this Act may be cited as the Communications Reform Act of 1993".

TITLE I—SUPERSESSION OF THE MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION FOR BELL OPERATING COMPANY TO ENTER COMPETITIVE LINES OF BUSINESS.

(a) APPLICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—After the applicable date specified in paragraph (2), a Bell operating company may apply simultaneously to the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission for authorization, notwithstanding the Modification of Final Judgment—

(A) to provide alarm monitoring services, or

(B) to provide interexchange telecommunications.

The application shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity, and of each product market or service market, and each geographic market, for which authorization is sought.

(2) APPLICABLE DATES.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the applicable date after which a Bell

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

operating company may apply for authorization shall be—

(A) the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to—

(i) engaging in any activity described in subparagraph (B), (C), or (D), to the extent, with respect to each market to which the activity relates, that there exists no actual or potential competition,

(ii) offering of a service described in subsection (b)(3)(D)(iii),

(iii) providing, through transmission facilities owned by such company, of interstate interexchange telecommunications that originate and terminate in exchange areas in which the Bell operating company, or an affiliate (as of November 21, 1993) of such company that is a Bell operating company, provided telephone exchange service as of November 21, 1993,

(B) except to the extent that an earlier date is available under subparagraph (A), the date that occurs 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to providing interexchange telecommunications through the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

acquisition and resale of telecommunications services,

(C) except to the extent that an earlier date is available under subparagraph (A) or (B), the date that occurs 60 months after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to providing any interstate telecommunications, and

(D) the date that occurs 66 months after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect to providing alarm monitoring services.

(3) INTERAGENCY NOTIFICATION.—Whenever the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission receives an application made under paragraph (1), the recipient of the application shall notify the other of such receipt.

(4) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after receiving an application made under paragraph (1), the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission jointly shall publish the application in the Federal Register.

(b) SEPARATE DETERMINATIONS BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

(1) COMMENT PERIOD.—Not later than 45 days after the application is published under subsection (a)(4), interested persons may submit comments to the Attorney General, to the Federal Communications Commission, or to both regarding the application. Submitted comments shall be available to the public.

(2) INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION.—Before making their respective determinations under paragraph (3), the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission shall consult with each other regarding the application involved.

(3) DETERMINATIONS.—(A) After the time for comment under paragraph (1) has expired, but not later than 180 days after the application is received under subsection (a)(1), the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission each shall issue separately a written determination, on the record after an opportunity for a hearing, with respect to granting the authorization for which the Bell operating company has applied.

(B) Such determination shall be based on clear and convincing evidence.

(C) A person who might be injured in its business or property as a result of the approval of the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

authorization requested shall be permitted to participate as a party in the proceeding on which the determination is based.

(D)(i) The Attorney General shall approve the granting of the authorization requested in the application only to the extent that the Attorney General finds that there is no substantial possibility that such company or its affiliates could use monopoly power to impede competition in the market such company seeks to enter. The Attorney General shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization.

(ii) The Federal Communications Commission shall approve the granting of the requested authorization only to the extent that the Commission finds that granting such request is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Commission shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization.

(iii) Notwithstanding clauses (i) and (ii), within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission shall jointly prescribe regulations to establish procedures and criteria for the expedited determination and approval of applications for proposed interexchange telecommunications services

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

that are incidental to the provision of another service which the Bell operating company may lawfully provide.

(E) In making any determination under subparagraph (D)(ii) of the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the Commission shall take into account—

(i) the probability that approval of the requested authorization will secure reduced rates for consumers of the services that are the subject of the application, especially residential subscribers,

(ii) whether approval of the requested authorization will result in increases in rates for consumers of exchange service,

(iii) the extent to which approval of the requested authorization will expedite the delivery of new services and products to consumers,

(iv) the extent to which the Commission's regulations will preclude the applicant from engaging in predatory pricing or other coercive economic practices with respect to the services that are the subject of the application,

(v) the extent to which approval of the requested authorization would permit collusive

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997

acts or practices between or among Bell operating companies that are not affiliates of each other,

(vi) whether approval of the requested authorization will result, directly or indirectly, in increasing concentration among providers of the service that is the subject of the application to such an extent that consumers will not be protected from rates that are unjust or unreasonable or that are unjustly or unreasonably discriminatory, and

(vii) in the case of an application to provide alarm monitoring services, whether the Commission has the capability to enforce effectively the regulations established pursuant to section 230 of the Communications Act of 1934 as added by this Act.

(F) A determination that approves the granting of any part of a requested authorization shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity, and of each product market or service market, and each geographic market, to which approval applies.

(4) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after issuing a determination under paragraph (3),

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 8 1997

the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission, as the case may be, shall publish in the Federal Register a brief description of the determination.

(5) FINALITY.—A determination made under paragraph (3) shall be final unless a civil action with respect to such determination is timely commenced under subsection (c)(1).

(6) AUTHORIZATION GRANTED.—Subject to paragraph (7), a requested authorization is granted to the extent that—

(A)(i) both the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission approved under paragraph (3) the granting of the authorization, and

(ii) neither of their approvals is vacated or reversed as a result of judicial review authorized by subsection (c), or

(B) as a result of such judicial review of either or both determinations, both the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission approve the granting of the requested authorization.

(c) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 9 1997

(1) CIVIL ACTION.—Not later than 45 days after a determination by the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission is published under subsection (b)(4), the Bell operating company that applied to the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission under subsection (a), or any person who might be injured in its business or property as a result of the determination regarding such company's engaging in the activity described in such company's application, may commence a civil action against the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission, as the case may be, in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia for review of the determination regarding the application.

(2) CERTIFICATION OF RECORD.—As part of the answer to the complaint, the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission, as the case may be, shall file in such court a certified copy of the record upon which the determination is based.

(3) CONSOLIDATION OF ACTIONS.—The court shall consolidate for review all civil actions commenced under this subsection with respect to the application.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 10 1997

(4) JUDGMENT.—(A) The court shall enter a judgment after reviewing the determination in accordance with section 706 of title 5 of the United States Code.

(B) A judgment—

(i) affirming the part of the determination that approves granting all or part of the requested authorization, or

(ii) reversing the part of the determination that denies all or part of the requested authorization,

shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of each activity, and of each product market or service market, and each geographic market, to which the affirmance or reversal applies.

SEC. 102. AUTHORIZATION AS PREREQUISITE.

(a) PREREQUISITE.—Until a Bell operating company is so authorized in accordance with section 101, it shall be unlawful for such company, directly or through an affiliated enterprise, to engage in an activity described in section 101(a)(1).

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—Subsection (a) shall not prohibit a Bell operating company from engaging, at any time after the date of enactment of this Act—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 11 1997

(1) in any activity as authorized by an order entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to section VIII(C) of the Modification of Final Judgment, if—

(A) such order was entered on or before the date of the enactment of this Act, or

(B) a request for such authorization was pending before such court on the date of the enactment of this Act,

(2) in providing interexchange telecommunications on an intrastate basis if, after the date of enactment of this Act, such telecommunications have been approved by, or are authorized under the laws of, the State involved, and public notice of the availability of such authority has occurred at least 60 days before the offering of such interexchange telecommunications, or

(3) in providing interexchange telecommunications through the purchase and resale of telecommunications services obtained from a person who is not an affiliate of such company if—

(A) such interexchange telecommunications originate in any State that, after the date of the enactment of this Act, approves or authorizes persons that are not affiliates of such com-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 12 1997

pany to provide intraexchange toll telecommunications services in such a manner that customers in such State have the ability to route automatically, without the use of any access code, their intraexchange toll telecommunications to the telecommunications services provider of the customer's designation from among 2 or more telecommunications services providers (including such company), and

(B) not less than 45 days before such company so provides such interexchange telecommunications—

(i) such company gives public notice of the availability of such approval or authorization, and

(ii) the Attorney General fails to commence a civil action to enjoin such company from so providing such interexchange telecommunications.

SEC. 103. LIMITATIONS ON MANUFACTURING AND PROVIDING EQUIPMENT.

(a) ABSOLUTE LIMITATION.—Until the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, it shall be unlawful for a Bell operating company, directly or through an affiliated enterprise, to manu-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 13 1997

facture or provide telecommunications equipment, or to manufacture customer premises equipment.

(b) QUALIFIED LIMITATION.—

(1) REQUIRED CONDITIONS.—After the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, it shall be lawful for a Bell operating company, directly or through an affiliated enterprise, to manufacture or provide telecommunications equipment, or to manufacture customer premises equipment, if—

(A) such company submits to the Attorney General the notification described in paragraph (2) and such additional material and information described in such paragraph as the Attorney General may request, and complies with the waiting period specified in paragraph (3), and

(B) before the expiration of the waiting period specified in paragraph (3)—

(i) the Attorney General fails to commence a civil action to enjoin such company from engaging in the activity described in such notification, or

(ii) the Attorney General notifies such company that for purposes of this subsection the Attorney General does not in-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 14 1997

tend to commence such civil action before the expiration of such waiting period.

(2) NOTIFICATION.—The notification required by paragraph (1) shall be in such form and shall contain such documentary material and information relevant to the proposed activity as is necessary and appropriate for the Attorney General to determine whether there is no substantial possibility that such company or its affiliates could use monopoly power to impede competition in the market such company seeks to enter for such activity.

(3) WAITING PERIOD.—The waiting period referred to in paragraph (1) is the 1-year period beginning on the date the notification required by such paragraph is received by the Attorney General.

(4) CIVIL ACTION.—Not later than 1 year after receiving a notification required by paragraph (1), the Attorney General may commence a civil action an appropriate district court of the United States to enjoin the Bell operating company from engaging in the activity described in such notification.

(c) EXCEPTION FOR PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—Subsections (a) and (b) shall not prohibit a Bell operating company from engaging, at any time after the date of enactment of this Act, in any activity as au-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 15 1997

thorized by an order entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to section VIII(C) of the Modification of Final Judgment, if—

(1) such order was entered on or before the date of the enactment of this Act, or

(2) a request for such authorization was pending before such court on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 104. ANTICOMPETITIVE TYING ARRANGEMENTS.

A Bell operating company with monopoly power in any exchange service market shall not tie (directly or indirectly) in any relevant market the sale of any product or service to the provision of any telecommunications service, if the effect of such tying may be to substantially lessen competition, or to tend to create monopoly, in any line of commerce.

SEC. 105. ENFORCEMENT.

(a) EQUITABLE POWERS OF UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS.—It shall be the duty of the several United States attorneys, under the direction of the Attorney General, to institute proceedings in equity in their respective districts to prevent and restrain violations of this Act.

(b) CRIMINAL LIABILITY.—Whoever knowingly engages or knowingly attempts to engage in an activity that is prohibited by section 102, 103, or 104 shall be guilty

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 16 1997

of a felony, and on conviction thereof, shall be punished to the same extent as a person is punished upon conviction of a violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1).

(c) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—Any person who is injured in its business or property by reason of a violation of this Act—

(1) may bring a civil action in any district court of the United States in the district in which the defendant resides or is found or has an agent, without respect to the amount in controversy, and

(2) shall recover threefold the damages sustained, and the cost of suit (including a reasonable attorney's fee).

The court may award under this section, pursuant to a motion by such person promptly made, simple interest on actual damages for the period beginning on the date of service of such person's pleading setting forth a claim under this Act and ending on the date of judgment, or for any shorter period therein, if the court finds that the award of such interest for such period is just in the circumstances.

(d) PRIVATE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.—Any person shall be entitled to sue for and have injunctive relief, in any court of the United States having jurisdiction over the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 17 1997

parties, against threatened loss or damage by a violation of this Act, when and under the same conditions and principles as injunctive relief is available under section 16 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 26). In any action under this subsection in which the plaintiff substantially prevails, the court shall award the cost of suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee, to such plaintiff.

(e) JURISDICTION.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the courts of the United States shall have exclusive jurisdiction to make determinations with respect to a duty, claim, or right arising under this Act, other than determinations authorized to be made by the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission under section 101(b)(3).

(2) The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia shall have exclusive jurisdiction to review determinations made under section 101(b)(3).

(3) No action commenced to assert or enforce a duty, claim, or right arising under this Act shall be stayed pending any such determination by the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission.

(f) SUBPOENAS.—In an action commenced under this Act, a subpoena requiring the attendance of a witness at a hearing or a trial may be served at any place within the United States.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 18 1997

SEC. 106. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act:

(1) AFFILIATE.—The term "affiliate" means a person that (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, another person. For purposes of this paragraph, to own refers to owning an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 50 percent.

(2) ALARM MONITORING SERVICES.—The term "alarm monitoring services" means services that detect threats to life, safety, or property, by burglary, fire, vandalism, bodily injury, or other emergency, through the use of devices that transmit signals to a central point in a customer's residence, place of business, or other fixed premises which—

"(A) retransmits such signals to a remote monitoring center by means of telephone exchange service facilities, and

"(B) serves to alert persons at the monitoring center of the need to inform police, fire, rescue, or other security or public safety personnel of the threat at such premises.

Such term does not include medical monitoring devices attached to individuals for the automatic surveillance of ongoing medical conditions.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 19 1997

(3) ANTITRUST LAWS.—The term "antitrust laws" has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)), except that such term includes the Act of June 19, 1936 (49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13 et seq.), commonly known as the Robinson Patman Act, and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to the extent that such section 5 applies to monopolies, attempts to monopolize, and unlawful restraints of trade.

(4) BELL OPERATING COMPANY.—The term "Bell operating company" means—

(A) Bell Telephone Company of Nevada, Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, New England Telephone and Telegraph Company, New Jersey Bell Telephone Company, New York Telephone Company, US West Communications Company, South Central Bell Telephone Company, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, The Bell Telephone Company of Pennsylvania, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Com-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 20 1997

pany of Maryland, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of West Virginia, The Diamond State Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, or Wisconsin Telephone Company, or

(B) any successor or assign of any such company.

(5) CUSTOMER PREMISES EQUIPMENT.—The term "customer premises equipment" means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a person engaged in the business of providing a telecommunications service) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications, and includes software relating to such equipment.

(6) ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING.—The term "electronic publishing" means the provision via telecommunications, by a Bell operating company or an affiliate of such company to a person other than an affiliate of such company, of information—

(A) which such company or affiliate has, or has caused to be, originated, authored, compiled, collected, or edited, or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 21 1997

(B) in which such company or affiliate has a direct or indirect financial or proprietary interest.

(7) EXCHANGE AREA.—The term "exchange area" means a contiguous geographic area established by a Bell operating company such that no exchange area includes points within more than 1 metropolitan statistical area, consolidated metropolitan statistical area, or State, except as expressly permitted under the Modification of Final Judgment before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(8) EXCHANGE ACCESS.—The term "exchange access" means exchange services provided for the purpose of originating or terminating interexchange telecommunications.

(9) EXCHANGE SERVICE.—The term "exchange service" means a telecommunications service provided within an exchange area.

(10) INFORMATION.—The term "information" means knowledge or intelligence represented by any form of writing, signs, signals, pictures, sounds, or other symbols.

(11) INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term "interexchange telecommunications" means telecommunications between a point

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 22 1997

located in an exchange area and a point located outside such exchange area. Such term does not include alarm monitoring services or electronic publishing.

(12) MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT.—The term "Modification of Final Judgment" means the order entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and includes any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after August 24, 1982.

(13) PERSON.—The term "person" has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)).

(14) TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term "telecommunications" means the transmission of information between points by electromagnetic means.

(15) TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.—The term "telecommunications equipment" means equipment, other than customer premises equipment, used to provide a telecommunications service, and includes software relating to such equipment.

(16) TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE.—The term "telecommunications service" means the offering for hire of transmission facilities or of tele-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 23 1997

communications by means of such facilities. Such term does not include alarm monitoring services or electronic publishing.

(17) TRANSMISSION FACILITIES.—The term "transmission facilities" means equipment (including wire, cable, microwave, satellite, and fiber-optics) that transmits information by electromagnetic means or that directly supports such transmission, but does not include customer premises equipment.

SEC. 107. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.

(a) MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT.—This Act shall supersede the Modification of Final Judgment, except that this Act shall not affect—

(1) section I of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to AT&T reorganization,

(2) section II(A) (including Appendix B) and II(B) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to equal access and nondiscrimination,

(3) section IV(F) and IV(I) of the Modification of Final Judgment, with respect to the requirements included in the definitions of "exchange access" and "information access",

(4) section VIII(B) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to printed advertising directories,

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 24 1997

(5) section VIII(E) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to notice to customers of AT&T,

(6) section VIII(F) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to less than equal exchange access,

(7) section VIII(G) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to transfer of AT&T assets, including all exceptions granted thereunder before the date of the enactment of this Act,

(8) with respect to the parts of the Modification of Final Judgment described in paragraphs (1) through (7)—

(A) section III of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to applicability,

(B) section IV of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to definitions,

(C) section V of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to compliance,

(D) section VI of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to visitorial provisions,

(E) section VII of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to retention of jurisdiction, and

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 25 1997

(F) section VIII(I) of the Modification of Final Judgment, relating to the court's sua sponte authority.

(b) ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede the applicability of any other antitrust law.

(c) FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAW.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), this Act shall not be construed to modify, impair, or supersede Federal, State, or local law unless expressly so provided in this Act.

(2) This Act shall supersede State and local law to the extent that such law would impair or prevent the operation of this Act.

(d) CUMULATIVE PENALTY.—Any penalty imposed, or relief granted, under this Act shall be in addition to, and not in lieu of, any penalty or relief authorized by any other law to be imposed with respect to conduct described in this Act.

SEC. 108. AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION OF ANTITRUST LAWS APPEARING IN THE CLAYTON ACT.

Subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)) is amended by inserting "the Antitrust Reform Act of 1993;" after "thirteen;".

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 26 1997

TITLE II—REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING, ALARM SERVICES AND ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING BY BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

SEC. 201. REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING BY BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.

Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 229. REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING BY BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.

"(a) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—Subject to the requirements of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, but notwithstanding any restriction or obligation imposed before the date of enactment of this section pursuant to the Modification of Final Judgment on the lines of business in which a Bell operating company may engage, a Bell operating company, through an affiliate of that company, may manufacture and provide telecommunications equipment and manufacture customer premises equipment.

"(b) SEPARATE MANUFACTURING AFFILIATE.—Any manufacturing or provision authorized under subsection

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 27 1997

(a) shall be conducted only through an affiliate that is separate from any Bell operating company.

"(c) COMMISSION REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING AFFILIATE.—

"(1) REGULATIONS REQUIRED.—The Commission shall prescribe regulations to ensure that Bell operating companies and their affiliates comply with the requirements of this section.

"(2) BOOKS, RECORDS, ACCOUNTS.—A manufacturing affiliate required by subsection (b) shall maintain books, records, and accounts separate from its affiliated Bell operating company which identify all financial transactions between the manufacturing affiliate and its affiliated Bell operating company and, even if such manufacturing affiliate is not a publicly held corporation, prepare financial statements which are in compliance with financial reporting requirements under the Federal securities laws for publicly held corporations, file such statements with the Commission, and make such statements available for public inspection.

"(3) IN-KIND BENEFITS TO AFFILIATE.—Consistent with the provisions of this section, neither a Bell operating company nor any of its nonmanufacturing affiliates shall perform sales, ad-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 28 1997

vertising, installation, production, or maintenance operations for a manufacturing affiliate, except that—

"(A) a Bell operating company and its nonmanufacturing affiliates may sell, advertise, install, and maintain telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment after acquiring such equipment from their manufacturing affiliate; and

"(B) institutional advertising, of a type not related to specific telecommunications equipment, carried out by the Bell operating company or its affiliates, shall be permitted.

"(4) DOMESTIC MANUFACTURING REQUIRED.—

"(A) GENERAL RULE.—A manufacturing affiliate required by subsection (b) shall conduct all of its manufacturing within the United States and, except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, all component parts of customer premises equipment manufactured by such affiliate, and all component parts of telecommunications equipment manufactured by such affiliate, shall have been manufactured within the United States.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 29 1997

"(B) EXCEPTION.—Such affiliate may use component parts manufactured outside the United States if—

"(i) such affiliate first makes a good faith effort to obtain equivalent component parts manufactured within the United States at reasonable prices, terms, and conditions; and

"(ii) for the aggregate of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment manufactured and sold in the United States by such affiliate, the cost of the components manufactured outside the United States contained in all such equipment does not exceed 40 percent of the sales revenue derived in any calendar year from such equipment.

"(C) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.—Any such affiliate that uses component parts manufactured outside the United States in the manufacture of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment within the United States shall—

"(i) certify to the Commission that a good faith effort was made to obtain equiv-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 30 1997

alent parts manufactured within the United States at reasonable prices, terms, and conditions, which certification shall be filed on a quarterly basis with the Commission and list component parts, by type, manufactured outside the United States; and

"(ii) certify to the Commission on an annual basis that such affiliate complied with the requirements of subparagraph (B)(ii), as adjusted in accordance with subparagraph (G).

"(D) REMEDIES FOR FAILURES.—(i) If the Commission determines, after reviewing the certification required in subparagraph (C)(i), that such affiliate failed to make the good faith effort required in subparagraph (B)(i) or, after reviewing the certification required in subparagraph (C)(ii), that such affiliate has exceeded the percentage specified in subparagraph (B)(ii), the Commission may impose penalties or forfeitures as provided for in title V of this Act.

"(ii) Any supplier claiming to be damaged because a manufacturing affiliate failed to

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 31 1997

make the good faith effort required in subparagraph (B)(i) may make complaint to the Commission as provided for in section 208 of this Act, or may bring suit for the recovery of actual damages for which such supplier claims such affiliate may be liable under the provisions of this Act in any district court of the United States of competent jurisdiction.

"(E) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Commission, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, shall, on an annual basis, determine the cost of component parts manufactured outside the United States contained in all telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment sold in the United States as a percentage of the revenues from sales of such equipment in the previous calendar year.

"(F) USE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN MANUFACTURE.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), a manufacturing affiliate may use intellectual property created outside the United States in the manufacture of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment in the United States. A component manufactured using such intellectual property

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 32 1997

shall not be treated for purposes of subparagraph (B)(ii) as a component manufactured outside the United States solely on the basis of the use of such intellectual property.

"(G) RESTRICTIONS ON COMMISSION AUTHORITY.—The Commission may not waive or alter the requirements of this paragraph, except that the Commission, on an annual basis, shall adjust the percentage specified in subparagraph (B)(ii) to the percentage determined by the Commission, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, pursuant to subparagraph (E).

"(5) INSULATION OF RATE PAYERS FROM MANUFACTURING AFFILIATE DEBT.—Any debt incurred by any such manufacturing affiliate may not be issued by its affiliated Bell operating company and such manufacturing affiliate shall be prohibited from incurring debt in a manner that would permit a creditor, on default, to have recourse to the assets of its affiliated Bell operating company.

"(6) RELATION TO OTHER AFFILIATES.—A manufacturing affiliate required by subsection (b) shall not be required to operate separately from the other affiliates of its affiliated Bell operating company, but if an affiliate of a Bell operating company

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 33 1997

becomes affiliated with a manufacturing entity, such affiliate shall be treated as a manufacturing affiliate of that Bell operating company and shall comply with the requirements of this section.

"(7) AVAILABILITY OF EQUIPMENT TO OTHER CARRIERS.—A manufacturing affiliate required by subsection (b) shall make available, without discrimination or self-preference as to price, delivery, terms, or conditions, to any common carrier any telecommunications equipment that is used in the provision of telephone exchange service and that is manufactured by such affiliate so long as each such purchasing carrier—

"(A) does not either manufacture telecommunications equipment, or have an affiliated telecommunications equipment manufacturing entity; or

"(B) agrees to make available, to the Bell operating company affiliated with such manufacturing affiliate or any common carrier affiliate of such Bell operating company, any telecommunications equipment that is used in the provision of telephone exchange service and that is manufactured by such purchasing carrier or

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 34 1997

by any entity or organization with which such purchasing carrier is affiliated.

"(8) SALES PRACTICES OF MANUFACTURING AFFILIATES.—

"(A) PROHIBITION OF DISCONTINUATION OF EQUIPMENT FOR WHICH THERE IS REASONABLE DEMAND.—A manufacturing affiliate required by subsection (b) shall not discontinue or restrict sales to a common carrier of any telecommunications equipment that is used in the provision of telephone exchange service and that such affiliate manufactures for sale as long as there is reasonable demand for the equipment by such carriers; except that such sales may be discontinued or restricted if such manufacturing affiliate demonstrates to the Commission that it is not making a profit, under a marginal cost standard implemented by the Commission by regulation, on the sale of such equipment.

"(B) DETERMINATIONS OF REASONABLE DEMAND.—Within 60 days after receipt of an application under subparagraph (A), the Commission shall reach a determination as to the existence of reasonable demand for purposes of

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 35 1997

such subparagraph. In making such determination the Commission shall consider—

"(i) whether the continued manufacture of the equipment will be profitable;

"(ii) whether the equipment is functionally or technologically obsolete;

"(iii) whether the components necessary to manufacture the equipment continue to be available;

"(iv) whether alternatives to the equipment are available in the market; and

"(v) such other factors as the Commission deems necessary and proper.

"(9) JOINT PLANNING OBLIGATIONS.—Each Bell operating company shall, consistent with the antitrust laws, engage in joint network planning and design with other contiguous common carriers providing telephone exchange service, but agreement with such other carriers shall not be required as a prerequisite for such introduction or deployment.

"(d) INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS.—

"(1) FILING OF INFORMATION ON PROTOCOLS AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS.—Each Bell operating company shall, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Commission, maintain and file

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 36 1997

with the Commission full and complete information with respect to the protocols and technical requirements for connection with and use of its telephone exchange service facilities. Each such company shall report promptly to the Commission any material changes or planned changes to such protocols and requirements, and the schedule for implementation of such changes or planned changes.

"(2) FILING AS PREREQUISITE TO DISCLOSURE TO AFFILIATE.—A Bell operating company shall not disclose to any of its affiliates any information required to be filed under paragraph (1) unless that information is filed promptly, as required by regulation by the Commission.

"(3) ACCESS BY COMPETITORS TO INFORMATION.—The Commission may prescribe such additional regulations under this subsection as may be necessary to ensure that manufacturers in competition with a Bell operating company's manufacturing affiliate have access to the information with respect to the protocols and technical requirements for connection with and use of its telephone exchange service facilities required for such competition that such company makes available to its manufacturing affiliate.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 37 1997

"(4) PLANNING INFORMATION.—Each Bell operating company shall provide, to contiguous common carriers providing telephone exchange service, timely information on the planned deployment of telecommunications equipment.

"(e) ADDITIONAL COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS.—The Commission shall prescribe regulations requiring that any Bell operating company which has an affiliate that engages in any manufacturing authorized by subsection (a) shall—

"(1) provide, to other manufacturers of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment that is functionally equivalent to equipment manufactured by the Bell operating company manufacturing affiliate, opportunities to sell such equipment to such Bell operating company which are comparable to the opportunities which such Company provides to its affiliates; and

"(2) not subsidize its manufacturing affiliate with revenues from telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.

"(f) COLLABORATION PERMITTED.—Nothing in this section (other than subsection (m)) shall be construed to limit or restrict the ability of a Bell operating company and its affiliates to engage in close collaboration with any

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 38 1997

manufacturer of customer premises equipment or telecommunications equipment during the design and development of hardware, software, or combinations thereof related to such equipment.

"(g) ACCESSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.—

"(1) MANUFACTURING.—The Commission shall, within 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, prescribe such regulations as are necessary to ensure that telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment designed, developed, and fabricated pursuant to the authority granted in this section shall be accessible and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals with functional limitations of hearing, vision, movement, manipulation, speech, and interpretation of information, unless the costs of making the equipment accessible and usable would result in an undue burden or an adverse competitive impact.

"(2) NETWORK SERVICES.—The Commission shall, within 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, prescribe such regulations as are necessary to ensure that advances in network services deployed by a Bell operating company shall be accessible and usable by individuals whose access might otherwise be impeded by a disability or functional

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 39 1997

limitation, unless the costs of making the services accessible and usable would result in an undue burden or adverse competitive impact. Such regulations shall seek to permit the use of both standard and special equipment and seek to minimize the need of individuals to acquire additional devices beyond those used by the general public to obtain such access.

"(3) COMPATIBILITY.—The regulations prescribed under paragraphs (1) and (2) shall require that whenever an undue burden or adverse competitive impact would result from the manufacturing or network services requirements in such paragraphs, the manufacturing affiliate that designs, develops, or fabricates the equipment or the Bell operating company that deploys the network service shall ensure that the equipment or network service in question is compatible with existing peripheral devices or specialized customer premises equipment commonly used by persons with disabilities to achieve access, unless doing so would result in an undue burden or adverse competitive impact.

"(4) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this subsection:

"(A) UNDUE BURDEN.—The term 'undue burden' means significant difficulty or expense.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 40 1997

In determining whether an activity would result in an undue burden, factors to be considered include—

"(i) the nature and cost of the activity;

"(ii) the impact on the operation of the facility involved in the manufacturing of the equipment or deployment of the network service;

"(iii) the financial resources of the manufacturing affiliate in the case of manufacturing of equipment, for as long as applicable regulatory rules prohibit cross-subsidization of equipment manufacturing with revenues from regulated telecommunications service or when the manufacturing activities are conducted in a separate subsidiary;

"(iv) the financial resources of the Bell operating company in the case of network services, or in the case of manufacturing of equipment if applicable regulatory rules permit cross subsidization of equipment manufacturing with revenues from regulated telecommunications services

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 41 1997

and the manufacturing activities are not conducted in a separate subsidiary; and

"(v) the type of operation or operations of the manufacturing affiliate or Bell operating company as applicable.

"(B) ADVERSE COMPETITIVE IMPACT.—In determining whether the activity would result in an adverse competitive impact, the following factors will be considered:

(i) whether such activity would raise the cost of the equipment or network service in question beyond the level at which there would be sufficient consumer demand by the general population to make the equipment or network service profitable; and

(ii) whether such activity would, with respect to the equipment or network service in question, put the manufacturing affiliate or Bell operating company, as applicable, at a competitive disadvantage in comparison with one or more providers of one or more competing products and services. This factor may only be considered so long as competing manufacturers and net-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 42 1997

work service providers are not held to the same obligation with respect to access by persons with disabilities.

"(C) ACTIVITY.—For the purposes of this paragraph, the term 'activity' includes—

(i) the research, design, development, deployment, and fabrication activities necessary to comply with the requirements of this section; and

(ii) the acquisition of the related materials and equipment components.

"(5) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The regulations required by this subsection shall become effective 18 months after the date of enactment of this section.

"(6) IMPACT OF ADA.—Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to limit or otherwise affect the application of the Americans with Disabilities Act or its implementing regulations.

"(h) PUBLIC NETWORK ENHANCEMENT.—A Bell operating company manufacturing affiliate shall, as a part of its overall research and development effort, establish a permanent program for the manufacturing research and development of products and applications for the enhancement of the public switched telephone network and to promote public access to advanced telecommunications serv-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 43 1997

ices. Such program shall focus its work substantially on developing technological advancements in public telephone network applications, telecommunication equipment and products, and access solutions to new services and technology, including access by (1) public institutions, including educational and health care institutions; and (2) people with disabilities and functional limitations. Notwithstanding the limitations in subsection (a), a Bell operating company and its affiliates may engage in such a program in conjunction with a Bell operating company not so affiliated or any of its affiliates. The existence or establishment of such a program that is jointly provided by manufacturing affiliates of Bell operating companies shall satisfy the requirements of this section as it pertains to all such affiliates of a Bell operating company.

"(i) ADDITIONAL RULES AUTHORIZED.—The Commission may prescribe such additional rules and regulations as the Commission determines necessary to carry out the provisions of this section.

"(j) ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY.—

"(1) COMMISSION REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—For the purposes of administering and enforcing the provisions of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 44 1997

same authority, power, and functions with respect to any Bell operating company as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

"(2) PRIVATE ACTIONS.—Any common carrier that provides telephone exchange service and that is injured by an act or omission of a Bell operating company or its manufacturing affiliate which violates the requirements of paragraph (7) or (8) of subsection (c), or the Commission's regulations implementing such paragraphs, may initiate an action in a district court of the United States to recover the full amount of damages sustained in consequence of any such violation and obtain such orders from the court as are necessary to terminate existing violations and to prevent future violations; or such regulated local telephone exchange carrier may seek relief from the Commission pursuant to sections 206 through 209.

"(k) RULEMAKING REQUIRED.—The Commission shall prescribe regulations to implement this section within 180 days after the date of enactment of this section.

"(l) EXISTING MANUFACTURING AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section shall prohibit any Bell operating

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 45 1997

company from engaging, directly or through any affiliate, in any manufacturing activity in which any Bell operating company or affiliate was authorized to engage on the date of enactment of this section.

"(m) ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede the applicability of any of the antitrust laws.

"(n) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

"(1) The term 'affiliate' means any organization or entity that, directly or indirectly, owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership with a Bell operating company. The terms 'owns', 'owned', and 'ownership' mean an equity interest of more than 10 percent.

"(2) The term 'Bell operating company' means those companies listed in appendix A of the Modification of Final Judgment, and includes any successor or assign of any such company, but does not include any affiliate of any such company.

"(3) The term 'customer premises equipment' means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a carrier) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications.

"(4) The term 'manufacturing' has the same meaning as such term has in the Modification of

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 46 1997

Final Judgment, and includes research, design, development, and fabrication.

"(5) The term 'manufacturing affiliate' means an affiliate of a Bell operating company established in accordance with subsection (b) of this section.

"(6) The term 'Modification of Final Judgment' means the decree entered August 24, 1982, in United States v. Western Electric Civil Action No. 82–0192 (United States District Court, District of Columbia), and includes any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after August 24, 1982, and before the date of enactment of this section.

"(7) The term 'telecommunications' means the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received, by means of an electromagnetic transmission medium, including all instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and services (including the collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery of such information) essential to such transmission.

"(8) The term 'telecommunications equipment' means equipment, other than customer premises equipment, used by a carrier to provide tele-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 47 1997

communications services, and includes software integral to such equipment (including upgrades).

"(9) The term 'telecommunications service' means the offering for hire of telecommunications facilities, or of telecommunications by means of such facilities.".

SEC. 202. REGULATION OF ENTRY INTO ALARM MONITORING SERVICES.

(a) AMENDMENT.—Title II of the Communications Act is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 230. REGULATION OF ENTRY INTO ALARM MONITORING SERVICES.

"(a) REGULATIONS REQUIRED.—Not later than 6 years after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall prescribe regulations—

"(1) to establish such requirements, limitations, or conditions as are (A) necessary and appropriate in the public interest with respect to the provision of alarm monitoring services by Bell operating companies and their affiliates, and (B) effective at such time as a Bell operating company or any of its affiliates is authorized to provide alarm monitoring services;

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 48 1997

"(2) to prohibit Bell operating companies and their affiliates, at that or any earlier time after the date of enactment of this section, from recording in any fashion the occurrence or the contents of calls received by providers of alarm monitoring services for the purposes of marketing such services on behalf of the Bell operating company, any of its affiliates, or any other entity; and

"(3) to establish procedures for the receipt and review of complaints concerning violations by such companies of such regulations, or of any other provision of this Act or the regulations thereunder, that result in material financial harm to a provider of alarm monitoring services.

"(b) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF COMPLAINTS.—The procedures established under subsection (a)(3) shall ensure that the Commission will make a final determination with respect to any complaint described in such subsection within 120 days after receipt of the complaint. If the complaint contains an appropriate showing that the alleged violation occurred, as determined by the Commission in accordance with such regulations, the Commission shall, within 60 days after receipt of the complaint, issue a cease and desist order to prevent the Bell

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 49 1997

operating company and its affiliates from continuing to engage in such violation pending such final determination.

"(c) REMEDIES.—The Commission may use any remedy available under title V of this Act to terminate and punish violations described in subsection (a)(2). Such remedies may include, if the Commission determines that such violation was willful or repeated, ordering the Bell operating company to cease offering alarm monitoring services.

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the terms 'Bell operating company', 'affiliate', and 'alarm monitoring services' have the meanings provided in section 106 of the Antitrust Reform Act of 1993.".

SEC. 203. REGULATION OF ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING.

Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

"SEC. 231. REGULATION OF ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) A Bell operating company and any affiliate shall not engage in the provision of electronic publishing that is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service.

"(2) Nothing in this section shall prohibit a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture from engag-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 50 1997

ing in the provision of electronic publishing or any other lawful service in any area.

"(3) Nothing in this section shall prohibit a Bell operating company or affiliate from engaging in the provision of any lawful service other than electronic publishing in any area or from engaging in the provision of electronic publishing that is not disseminated by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service.

"(b) SEPARATED AFFILIATE OR ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING JOINT VENTURE REQUIREMENTS.—A separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture shall—

"(1) maintain books, records, and accounts that are separate from those of the Bell operating company and from any affiliate and which record in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles all transactions, whether direct or indirect, with the Bell operating company;

"(2) not incur debt in a manner that would permit a creditor upon default to have recourse to the assets of the Bell operating company;

"(3) prepare financial statements that are not consolidated with those of the Bell operating company or an affiliate, provided that consolidated statements may also be prepared;

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 51 1997

"(4) file with the Commission annual reports in a form substantially equivalent to the Form 10–K referenced at 17 C.F.R. 249.310 as that section and form are in effect on the date of enactment;

"(5) after 1 year from the effective date of this section, not hire as corporate officers sales and marketing management personnel whose responsibilities at the separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture will include the geographic area where the Bell operating company provides basic telephone service, or network operations personnel whose responsibilities at the separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture would require dealing directly with the Bell operating company, any person who was employed by the Bell operating company during the year preceding their date of hire, provided that this requirement shall not apply to persons subject to a collective bargaining agreement that gives such persons rights to be employed by a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture of the Bell operating company;

"(6) not provide any wireline telephone exchange service in any telephone exchange area where a Bell operating company with which it is under

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 52 1997

common ownership or control provides basic telephone exchange service except on a resale basis;

"(7) not use the name, trademarks, or service marks of an existing Bell operating company except for names or service marks that are or were used in common with the entity that owns or controls the Bell operating company;

"(8) have performed annually by March 31, or any other date prescribed by the Commission, a compliance review which—

"(A) must be conducted by an independent entity which is subject to professional, legal, and ethical obligations for the purpose of determining compliance during the preceding calendar year with any provision of this section that imposes a requirement on such separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture; and

"(B) must be maintained by the separated affiliate for a period of 5 years subject to review by any lawful authority;

"(9) within 90 days of receiving a review described in paragraph (8), file a report of such exceptions and any corrective action with the Commission and allow any person to inspect and copy such re-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 53 1997

port subject to reasonable safeguards to protect any proprietary information contained in such report from being used for purposes other than to enforce or pursue remedies under this section.

"(c) BELL OPERATING COMPANY REQUIREMENTS.—A Bell operating company under common ownership or control with a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture shall—

"(1) not provide a separated affiliate any facilities, services, or basic telephone service information unless it makes such facilities, services, or information available to unaffiliated entities upon request and on the same terms and conditions;

"(2) carry out transactions with a separated affiliate in a manner equivalent to the manner that unrelated parties would carry out independent transactions and not based upon the affiliation;

"(3) carry out transactions with a separated affiliate, which involve the transfer of personnel, assets, or anything of value, pursuant to written contracts or tariffs that are filed with the Commission and made publicly available;

"(4) carry out transactions with a separated affiliate in a manner that is auditable in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 54 1997

"(5) value any assets that are transferred to a separated affiliate at the greater of net book cost or fair market value;

"(6) value any assets that are transferred to it by its separated affiliate at the lesser of net book cost or fair market value;

"(7) except for—

"(A) instances where Commission or State regulations permit in-arrears payment for tariffed telecommunications services; or

"(B) the investment by an affiliate of dividends or profits derived from a Bell operating company,

not provide debt or equity financing directly or indirectly to a separated affiliate;

"(8) comply fully with all applicable Commission and State cost allocation and other accounting rules;

"(9) have performed annually by March 31, or any other date prescribed by the Commission, a compliance review which—

"(A) must be conducted by an independent entity which is subject to professional, legal, and ethical obligations for the purpose of determining compliance during the preceding cal-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 55 1997

endar year with any provision of this section that imposes a requirement on such Bell operating company; and

"(B) must be maintained by the Bell operating company for a period of 5 years subject to review by any lawful authority;

"(10) within 90 days of receiving a review described in paragraph (9), file a report of such exceptions and any corrective action with the Commission and allow any person to inspect and copy such report subject to reasonable safeguards to protect any proprietary information contained in such report from being used for purposes other than to enforce or pursue remedies under this section;

"(11) if it provides facilities or services for telecommunication, transmission, billing and collection, or physical collocation to any electronic publisher, including a separated affiliate, for use with or in connection with the provision of electronic publishing that is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service, provide to all other electronic publishers the same type of facilities and services on request, on the same terms and conditions or as required by the Commission or a State, and unbundled and individ-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 56 1997

ually tariffed to the same extent as provided to such publisher;

"(12) provide network access and interconnections for basic telephone service to electronic publishers at prices that are regulated so long as the prices for these services are subject to regulation;

"(13) if prices for network access and interconnection for basic telephone service are no longer subject to regulation, provide electronic publishers such services on the same terms and conditions as a separated affiliate receives such services;

"(14) if any basic telephone service used by electronic publishers ceases to require a tariff, provide electronic publishers with such service on the same terms and conditions as a separated affiliate receives such service;

"(15) provide reasonable advance notification at the same time and on the same terms to all affected electronic publishers of information relating to changes in basic telephone service network design and technical standards which would affect the provision of electronic publishing;

"(16) not directly or indirectly provide anything of monetary value to a separated affiliate unless in exchange for consideration at least equal to the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 57 1997

greater of its net book cost or fair market value, except the investment by an affiliate of dividends or profits derived from a Bell operating company;

"(17) not discriminate in the presentation or provision of any gateway for electronic publishing services or any electronic directory of information services, which is provided over such Bell operating company's basic telephone service;

"(18) have no directors, officers or employees in common with a separated affiliate;

"(19) not own any property in common with a separated affiliate;

"(20) not perform hiring or training of personnel performed on behalf of a separated affiliate;

"(21) not perform the purchasing, installation or maintenance of equipment on its behalf of a separated affiliate, except for telephone service that it provides under tariff or contract subject to the provisions of this section; and

"(22) not perform research and development on behalf of a separated affiliate.

"(d) CUSTOMER PROPRIETARY NETWORK INFORMATION.—A Bell operating company or any affiliate shall not provide to any electronic publisher, including a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture, customer

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 58 1997

proprietary network information for use with or in connection with the provision of electronic publishing that is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service that is not made available by the Bell operating company or affiliate to all electronic publishers on the same terms and conditions.

"(e) COMPLIANCE WITH SAFEGUARDS.—A Bell operating company, affiliate or its separated affiliate is prohibited from acting in concert with another Bell operating company or any entity in order to knowingly and willfully violate or evade the requirements of this section.

"(f) TELEPHONE OPERATING COMPANY DIVIDENDS.—Nothing in this section shall prohibit an affiliate from investing dividends derived from a Bell operating company in its separated affiliate and subsections (i) and (j) of this section shall not apply to any such investment.

"(g) JOINT MARKETING, ETC.—Except as provided in subsection (h)—

"(1) a Bell operating company shall not carry out any promotion, marketing, sales, or advertising for or in conjunction with a separated affiliate.

"(2) A Bell operating company shall not carry out any promotion, marketing, sales, or advertising

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 59 1997

for or in conjunction with an affiliate that is related to the provision of electronic publishing.

"(h) PERMISSIBLE JOINT ACTIVITIES.—

"(1) JOINT TELEMARKETING.—A Bell operating company may provide inbound telemarketing or referral services related to the provision of electronic publishing for a separated affiliate, electronic publishing joint venture, affiliate, or unaffiliated electronic publisher, provided that if such services are provided to a separated affiliate, electronic publishing joint venture, or affiliate, such services shall be made available to all electronic publishers on request, on nondiscriminatory terms, at compensatory prices, and subject to regulations of the Commission to ensure that the Bell operating company's method of providing telemarketing or referral and its price structure do not competitively disadvantage any electronic publishers regardless of size, including those which do not use the Bell operating company's telemarketing services.

"(2) TEAMING ARRANGEMENTS.—A Bell operating company may engage in nondiscriminatory teaming or business arrangements to engage in electronic publishing with any separated affiliate or with any other electronic publisher provided that the Bell

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 60 1997

operating company only provides facilities, services, and basic telephone service information as authorized by this section and provided that the Bell operating company does not own such teaming or business arrangement.

"(3) ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING JOINT VENTURES.—A Bell operating company or affiliate may participate on a nonexclusive basis in electronic publishing joint ventures with entities that are not any Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate to provide electronic publishing services, provided that the Bell operating company or affiliate has not more than a 50 percent direct or indirect equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) or the right to more than 50 percent of the gross revenues under a revenue sharing or royalty agreement in any electronic publishing joint venture. Officers and employees of a Bell operating company or affiliate participating in an electronic publishing joint venture may not have more than 50 percent of the voting control over the electronic publishing joint venture. In the case of joint ventures with small, local electronic publishers, the Commission for good cause shown may authorize the Bell operating company or affiliate to have a larger equity interest, revenue share,

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 61 1997

or voting control but not to exceed 80 percent. A Bell operating company participating in an electronic publishing joint venture may provide promotion, marketing, sales, or advertising personnel and services to such joint venture.

"(i) TRANSACTIONS RELATED TO THE PROVISION OF ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING BETWEEN A TELEPHONE OPERATING COMPANY AND ANY AFFILIATE.—

"(1) Any provision of facilities, services or basic telephone service information or any transfer of assets, personnel, or anything of commercial or competitive value from a Bell operating company to any affiliate related to the provision of electronic publishing shall be—

"(A) recorded in the books and records of each entity;

"(B) auditable in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and

"(C) pursuant to written contracts or tariffs filed with the Commission or a State and made publicly available.

"(2) Any transfer of assets directly related to the provision of electronic publishing from a Bell operating company to an affiliate shall be valued at the greater of net book cost or fair market value. Any

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 62 1997

transfer of assets related to the provision of electronic publishing from an affiliate to the Bell operating company shall be valued at the lesser of net book cost or fair market value.

"(3) A Bell operating company shall not provide an affiliate any facilities, services, or basic telephone service information related to the provision of electronic publishing, which such affiliate then directly or indirectly provides to an separated affiliate, and which is not made available to unaffiliated companies on the same terms and conditions.

"(j) TRANSACTIONS RELATED TO THE PROVISION OF ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING BETWEEN AN AFFILIATE AND A SEPARATED AFFILIATE.—

"(1) Any facilities, services, or basic telephone service information provided or any assets, personnel, or anything of commercial or competitive value transferred, from an Bell operating company to any affiliate as described in subsection (i) and then provided or transferred to an separated affiliate shall be—

"(A) recorded in the books and records of each entity;

"(B) auditable in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 63 1997

"(C) pursuant to written contracts or tariffs filed with the Commission or a State and made publicly available.

"(2) Any transfer of assets directly related to the provision of electronic publishing from a Bell operating company to any affiliate as described in subsection (i) and then transferred to a separated affiliate shall be valued at the greater of net book cost or fair market value. Any transfer of assets related to the provision of electronic publishing from a separated affiliate to any affiliate and then transferred to the Bell operating company as described in subsection (i) shall be valued at the lesser of net book cost or fair market value.

"(3) An affiliate shall not provide a separated affiliate any facilities, services, or basic telephone service information related to the provision of electronic publishing, which were provided to such affiliate directly or indirectly by a Bell operating company, and which is not made available to unaffiliated companies on the same terms and conditions.

"(k) OTHER ELECTRONIC PUBLISHERS.—Except as provided in subsection (h)(3)—

"(1) A Bell operating company shall not have any officers, employees, property, or facilities in

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 64 1997

common with any entity whose principal business is publishing of which a part is electronic publishing.

"(2) No officer or employee of a Bell operating company shall serve as a director of any entity whose principal business is publishing of which a part is electronic publishing.

"(3) For the purposes of paragraphs (1) and (2), a Bell operating company or an affiliate that owns an electronic publishing joint venture shall not be deemed to be engaged in the electronic publishing business solely because of such ownership.

"(4) A Bell operating company shall not carry out—

"(A) any marketing or sales for any entity that engages in electronic publishing; or

"(B) any hiring of personnel, purchasing, or production,

for any entity that engages in electronic publishing.

"(5) The Bell operating company shall not provide any facilities, services, or basic telephone service information to any entity that engages in electronic publishing, for use with or in connection with the provision of electronic publishing that is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service, unless

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 65 1997

equivalent facilities, services, or information are made available on equivalent terms and conditions to all.

"(l) TRANSITION.—Any electronic publishing service being offered to the public by a Bell operating company or affiliate on the date of enactment of this section shall have one year from such date of enactment to comply with the requirements of this section.

"(m) SUNSET.—The provisions of this section shall cease to apply to a Bell operating company or its affiliate or separated affiliate in any telephone exchange area on June 30, 2000.

"(n) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—

"(1) Any person claiming that any act or practice of any Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate constitutes a violation of this section may file a complaint with the Commission or bring suit as provided in section 207 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 207), and such Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate shall be liable as provided in section 206 of the Communications Act of 1934, (47 U.S.C. 207): *Provided, however*, That damages may not be awarded for a violation that is discovered by a compliance re-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 66 1997

view as required by subsection (b)(8) or (c)(9) of this section and corrected within 90 days.

"(2) In addition to the provisions of paragraph (1), any person claiming that any act or practice of any Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate constitutes a violation of this section may make application to the Commission for an order to cease and desist such violation or may make application in any district court of the United States of competent jurisdiction for an order enjoining such acts or practices or for an order compelling compliance with such requirement.

"(o) ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede the applicability of any of the antitrust laws.

"(p) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

"(1) The term 'affiliate' means any entity that, directly or indirectly, owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, a Bell operating company. Such term shall not include a separated affiliate.

"(2) The term 'basic telephone service' means wireline telephone exchange service provided by a Bell operating company in a telephone exchange area, except

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 67 1997

"(A) a competitive wireline telephone exchange service provided in a telephone exchange area where another entity provides a wireline telephone exchange service that was provided on January 1, 1984, and

"(B) wireless telephone exchange service provided by an affiliate that is required by the Commission to be a corporate entity separate from the Bell operating company.

"(3) The term 'basic telephone service information' means network and customer information of a Bell operating company and other information acquired by a Bell operating company as a result of its engaging in the provision of basic telephone service.

"(4) The term 'control' has the meaning that it has in 17 C.F.R. 240.12b–2, the regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) or any successor provision to such section.

"(5) The term 'customer proprietary network information' means—

"(A) information which—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 68 1997

"(i) relates to the quantity, technical configuration, type, destination, and amount of use of telephone exchange service or interexchange telephone service subscribed to by any customer of a Bell operating company, and

"(ii) is available to the Bell operating company by virtue of the telephone company-customer relationship; and

"(B) information contained in the bills for telephone exchange service or interexchange telephone service received by a customer of a Bell operating company.

"(6)(A) The term 'electronic publishing' means the dissemination, provision, publication, or sale to an unaffiliated entity or person, using a Bell operating company's basic telephone service, of—

"(i) news;

"(ii) business and financial reports;

"(iii) editorials;

"(iv) columns;

"(v) sports reporting;

"(vi) features;

"(vii) advertising;

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 69 1997

"(viii) photos and images used in publishing;

"(ix) archival material used in publishing;

"(x) legal notices; or

"(xi) other like or similar information.

"(B) The term 'electronic publishing' shall not include the following network services:

"(i) Information access as that term is defined by the Modification of Final Judgment.

"(ii) The transmission of information as a common carrier.

"(iii) The transmission of information as part of a gateway to an information service that does not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information, including data transmission, address translation, protocol conversion, billing management, introductory information content, and navigational systems that enable users to access electronic publishing services, which do not affect the presentation of such electronic publishing services to users.

"(iv) Voice storage and retrieval services, including voice messaging and electronic mail services.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 70 1997

"(v) Level 2 gateway services as those services are defined by the Commission's Second Report and Order, Recommendation to Congress and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 87–266 dated August 14, 1992.

"(vi) Data processing services that do not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

"(vii) Transaction processing systems that do not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

"(viii) Electronic billing or advertising of a Bell operating company's regulated telecommunications services.

"(ix) Language translation.

"(x) Conversion of data from one format to another.

"(xi) The provision of information necessary for the management, control, or operation of a telephone company telecommunications system.

"(xii) The provision of directory assistance that provides names, addresses, and telephone numbers and does not include advertising.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 71 1997

"(xiii) Caller identification services.

"(xiv) Repair and provisioning databases for telephone company operations.

"(xv) Credit card and billing validation for telephone company operations.

"(xvi) 911–E and other emergency assistance databases.

"(xvii) Any other network service of a type that is like or similar to these network services and that does not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

"(xviii) Any upgrades to these network services that do not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

"(C) The term 'electronic publishing' also shall not include—

"(i) full motion video entertainment on demand; and

"(ii) video programming as defined in section 602 of the Communications Act of 1934.

"(7) The term 'electronic publishing joint venture' means a joint venture owned by a Bell operating company or affiliate that engages in the provision of electronic publishing which is disseminated

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 72 1997

by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service.

"(8) The term 'entity' means any organization, and includes corporations, partnerships, sole proprietorships, associations, and joint ventures.

"(9) The term 'inbound telemarketing' means the marketing of property, goods, or services by telephone to a customer or potential customer who initiated the call.

"(10) The term 'own' with respect to an entity means to have a direct or indirect equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 percent of an entity, or the right to more than 10 percent of the gross revenues of an entity under a revenue sharing or royalty agreement.

"(11) The term 'separated affiliate' means a corporation under common ownership or control with a Bell operating company that does not own or control a Bell operating company and is not owned or controlled by a Bell operating company and that engages in the provision of electronic publishing which is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company's or any of its affiliates' basic telephone service.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 73 1997

"(12) The term 'Bell operating company' means the corporations subject to the Modification of Final Judgment and listed in Appendix A thereof, or any entity owned or controlled by such corporation, or any successor or assign of such corporation, but does not include an electronic publishing joint venture owned by such corporation or entity.".

o

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 74 1997

Document No. 110

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xvii] 1997

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [xviii] 1997

103D CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 3626

[Report No. 103–559, Parts I and II]

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to regulate the manufacturing of Bell operating companies, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 22, 1993

Mr. BROOKS (for himself and Mr. DINGELL) introduced the following bill; which was referred jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Energy and Commerce

JUNE 24, 1994

Reported from the Committee on Energy and Commerce with an Amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italics]

JUNE 24, 1994

Additional sponsors: Mr. NADLER, Mr. LAZIO, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. GILMAN, Mr. FISH, Mr. MOORHEAD, Mr. MARKEY, and Mr. FIELDS of Texas

JUNE 24, 1994

Reported from the Committee on the Judiciary with amendments, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed.

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in Boldface Roman]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on November 22, 1993]

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 1 1997

A BILL

To supersede the Modification of Final Judgment entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82–0192, United States District Court for the District of Columbia; to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to regulate the manufacturing of Bell operating companies, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Antitrust and Communications Reform Act of 1994".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—SUPERSESSION OF THE MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Sec. 101. Authorization for Bell operating company to enter competitive lines of business.
Sec. 102. Authorization as prerequisite.
Sec. 103. Limitations on manufacturing and providing equipment.
Sec. 104. Anticompetitive tying arrangements.
Sec. 105. Enforcement.
Sec. 106. Definitions.
Sec. 107. Relationship to other laws.
Sec. 108. Amendment to definition of antitrust laws appearing in the Clayton Act.

TITLE II—REGULATION OF MANUFACTURING, ALARM SERVICES AND ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING BY BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

Sec. 201. Regulation of manufacturing by Bell operating companies.
Sec. 202. Regulation of entry into alarm monitoring services.
Sec. 203. Regulation of electronic publishing.
Sec. 204. Privacy of customer information.

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 2 1997

TITLE III—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RESOURCES

Sec. 301. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE I—SUPERSESSION OF THE MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION FOR BELL OPERATING COMPANY TO ENTER COMPETITIVE LINES OF BUSINESS.

(a) APPLICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—After the applicable date specified in paragraph (2), a Bell operating company may apply to the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission for authorization, notwithstanding the Modification of Final Judgment—

(A) to provide alarm monitoring services, or

(B) to provide interexchange telecommunications services.

The application shall describe with particularity the nature and scope of the activity, and of each product market or service market, and each geographic market, for which authorization is sought.

(2) APPLICABLE DATES.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the applicable date after which a Bell operating company may apply for authorization shall be—

(A) the date of the enactment of this Act, with respect to—

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 3 1997

(i) engaging in any activity described in subparagraph (B), (C), or (D), to the extent, with respect to each market to which the activity relates, that there exists no actual or potential competition,

(ii) providing a service described in subsection (b)(3)(D)(iii),

(iii) providing, through transmission facilities owned by such company, interstate interexchange telecommunications services that originate and terminate in exchange areas in which the Bell operating company, or an affiliate (as of November 21, 1993) of such company that is a Bell operating company, provided telephone exchange service on November 21, 1993,

(B) except to the extent that an earlier date is available under subparagraph (A), the date that occurs 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, with respect to providing interexchange telecommunications services through the acquisition and resale of telecommunications services,

(C) except to the extent that an earlier date is available under subparagraph (A) or (B), the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 4 1997

date that occurs 60 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, with respect to providing any interstate telecommunications, and

(D) the date that occurs 66 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, with respect to providing alarm monitoring services.

(3) INTERAGENCY NOTIFICATION.—Whenever the Attorney General or the Federal Communications Commission receives an application made under paragraph (1), the recipient of the application shall notify the other of such receipt.

(4) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after receiving an application made under paragraph (1), the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission jointly shall publish the application in the Federal Register.

(b) SEPARATE DETERMINATIONS BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.—

(1) COMMENT PERIOD.—Not later than 45 days after an application is published under subsection (a)(4), interested persons may submit written comments to the Attorney General, to the Federal Communications Commission, or to both regarding the

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 5 1997

application. Submitted comments shall be available to the public.

(2) INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION.—Before making their respective determinations under paragraph (3), the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission shall consult with each other regarding the application involved.

(3) DETERMINATIONS.—(A) After the time for comment under paragraph (1) has expired, but not later than 180 days after receiving an application made under subsection (a)(1), the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission each shall issue separately a written determination, on the record after an opportunity for a hearing, with respect to granting the authorization for which the Bell operating company has applied.

(B) Such determination shall be based on clear and convincing evidence.

(C) Any person who might be injured in its business or property as a result of the approval of the authorization requested shall be permitted to participate as a party in the proceeding on which the determination is based.

(D)(i) The Attorney General shall approve the granting of the authorization requested in the appli-

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 6 1997

cation only to the extent that the Attorney General finds that there is no substantial possibility that such company or its affiliates could use monopoly power to impede competition in the market such company seeks to enter. The Attorney General shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization.

(ii) The Federal Communications Commission shall approve the granting of the requested authorization only to the extent that the Commission finds that granting the requested authorization is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Commission shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization.

(iii) Notwithstanding clauses (i) and (ii), within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General and the Federal Communications Commission shall each prescribe regulations to establish procedures and criteria for the expedited determination and approval of applications to provide interexchange telecommunications services that are incidental to the provision of another service which the Bell operating company may lawfully provide (and that are not described in section 102(c)). In prescribing such regulations, the Attorney General and

HeinOnline -- 5 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act 7 1997